arXiv:1611.08124v1 [math.AP] 24 Nov 2016

HÖLDER CONTINUOUS PERIODIC SOLUTION OF BOUSSINESQ EQUATION WITH PARTIAL VISCOSITY

TAO TAO AND LIQUN ZHANG

Abstract. We show the existence of Hölder continuous periodic solution with compact support in time of the Boussinesq equations with partial viscosity. The Hölder regularity of the solution we constructed is anisotropic which is compatible with partial viscosity of the equations.

Keywords: Boussinesq equations with partial viscosity, Hölder continuous weak solution

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 35Q30, 76D03

1. Introduction

The Boussinesq model was introduced for understanding the effect of potentially large conversions between internal energy and mechanical energy in fluids. The Boussinesq equation modeled many geophysical flows, such as atmospheric fronts and ocean circulations (see, for example, [28],[31]). It was used in recent theoretical discussion of the energetics of horizontal convection as well as in discussing the energetics of turbulent mixing in stratified fluids. Such a model forms the basis for a majority of numerical simulation of stratified turbulence.

In this paper, we consider the following 3-dimensional Boussinesq system with only vertical viscosity

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + v\cdot\nabla v + \nabla p - \partial_{zz} v = \theta e_3, \quad \text{in} \quad R_+\times T^3 \\ \mathrm{div} v = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad R_+\times T^3 \\ \partial_t \theta + v\cdot\nabla\theta - \partial_{zz}\theta = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad R_+\times T^3 \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

on torus T^3, where $e_3 = (0,0,1)^T$, z is the vertical variable. Here, v is the velocity vector, p is the pressure, θ denotes the temperature which is a scalar function.

The global existence and well-posedness have been established by many authors for the Cauchy problem of (1.1) in 2d (see, for example, [5], [26]). For the 3-dimensional case, the global existence of smooth solution of (1.1) remains open. We are interested in constructing some continuous solutions of (1.1). To understand the turbulence phenomena in hydrodynamics, one needs to go beyond classical solutions. The triple (v,p,θ) on $R_+\times T^3$ is called a weak solution of (1.1) if they belong to $L^2_{loc}(R_+\times T^3)$ and solve (1.1) in the following sense:

$$\int_{R_+}\int_{T^3} (v\cdot\partial_t\varphi + v\otimes v : \nabla\varphi + p\mathrm{div}\varphi + v\cdot\partial_{zz}\varphi + \theta e_3\cdot\varphi)dxdt = 0$$

for all $\varphi\in C_c^\infty(R_+\times T^3; R^3)$.

$$\int_{R_+}\int_{T^3} (\partial_t\phi\theta + v\cdot\nabla\phi\theta + \partial_{zz}\phi\theta)dxdt = 0$$

for all $\phi\in C_c^\infty(R_+\times T^3; R)$ and

$$\int_{R_+}\int_{T^3} v\cdot\nabla\psi dxdt = 0$$

Date: November 28, 2016.

for all $\psi \in C_c^\infty(R_+ \times T^3; R)$. Here and in the following arguments $x = (x_1, x_2, z)$. Sometimes we abuse the notation by using (x, y, z) to denote the space variables.

The study of continuous weak solutions in fluid dynamics becomes more and more interesting. One of the famous example is the anomalous dissipation on weak solution of Euler equation which was first considered by Lars Onsager in his famous 1949 note about statistical hydrodynamics, see [30].

The existence of dissipative solution has been studied by many authors. In the earlier work of V. Scheffer ([32]) and later by A. Shnirelman ([34]), they constructed weak solutions of Euler equations with compact support in time. For weak solutions with decreasing energy, there are some results by A. Shnirelman ([33]) and Camillo De Lellis, László Székelyhidi ([37, 15]).

Recently, a great progress was made by Camillo De Lellis, László Székelyhidi, etc, in the construction of Hölder continuous solution. They actually developed an iterative scheme (some kind of convex integration) in [17] and constructed continuous periodic solution on T^3 which satisfies the prescribed kinetic energy by using Beltrami flow on T^3 and Geometric Lemma. The solution is a superposition of infinitely many perturbed and weakly interacting Beltrami flows. Combining the Nash-Moser mollify technique in the iterative scheme, they also constructed Hölder periodic solution with exponent θ, for any $\theta < \frac{1}{10}$, which satisfies the prescribed kinetic energy in [18]. In [3], Camillo De Lellis, László Székelyhidi and T. Buckmaster constructed Hölder continuous weak solutions with any $\theta < \frac{1}{5}$, which satisfies the prescribed kinetic energy, also see [2]. In whole space R^3, P. Isett and Sung-jin Oh in [22] constructed Hölder continuous solutions with any $\theta < \frac{1}{5}$, which satisfies the prescribed kinetic energy or is a perturbation of smooth Euler flow. Moreover, S. Daneri considered the Cauchy problem for dissipative Hölder Euler flow in ([12, 13]) and A. Choffrut studied h-principles for the incompressible Euler equations in [7].

For the Onsager critical spatial regularity (Hölder exponent $\theta = \frac{1}{3}$), there are also some progress. By keeping track of sharper, time localized estimates in [1], T. Buckmaster constructed Hölder continuous (with exponent $\theta < \frac{1}{5} - \varepsilon$ in time-space) periodic solutions which for almost every time belongs to C_x^θ, for any $\theta < \frac{1}{3}$, and is compactly temporal supported. In [4], Camillo De Lellis, László Székelyhidi and T. Buckmaster also constructed Hölder continuous periodic solution which belongs to $L_t^1 C_x^\theta$, for any $\theta < \frac{1}{3}$, and has compact support in time. Recently, P. Isett gives a proof of Onsager's conjecture in ([24]).

Moreover, Vicol and Isett constructed Hölder continuous weak solution for some class of active scalar equations in [25], Luo and Xin constructed Hölder continuous solutions with compact support in time for 3-dimensional Prandtl's system in [27].

Motivated by the above earlier works, we considered the Boussinesq equations and want to know if the similar phenomena can also happen when add the temperature effects. In the present paper, we consider the Boussinesq equations with vertical viscosity (or diffusion) on torus and show that vertical viscosity can't obstruct the anomalous dissipative phenomena in 3-dimensional Boussinesq equations. Following the general scheme in the construction of Euler equations and inspired by [25], [10], [27] and [29], by establishing the corresponding geometric lemma and improving the iteration scheme, we obtain the following existence result.

We denote $v \in C^\alpha$ if

$$\sup_{(t,x,y,z)\neq(t',x',y',z')} \frac{|v(t,x,y,z) - v(t',x',y',z')|}{|(t,x,y,z) - (t',x',y',z')|^\alpha} < \infty,$$

and denote $v(t,x,y,z) \in C_z^\alpha$ if

$$\sup_{t,x,y} \sup_{z\neq z'} \frac{|v(t,x,y,z) - v(t,x,y,z')|}{|z - z'|^\alpha} < \infty.$$

Theorem 1.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a triple*

$$(v, p, \theta) \in C_c(R_+ \times T^3)$$

such that they solve the system (1.1) *in the sense of distribution with compact support in time and*

$$v \in C^{\frac{1}{55}-\varepsilon}, \quad v \in C_z^{\frac{1}{19}-\varepsilon} \quad \theta \in C^{\frac{1}{45}-\varepsilon}, \quad \theta \in C_z^{\frac{1}{15}-\varepsilon}, \quad p \in C^{\infty}.$$

Remark 1.1. *For the anisotropic Boussinesq equations*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla p - \partial_{xx} v = \theta e_3, \quad \text{in} \quad R_+ \times T^3, \\ \text{div} v = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad R_+ \times T^3, \\ \partial_t \theta + v \cdot \nabla \theta - \partial_{xx} \theta = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad R_+ \times T^3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla p - \partial_{yy} v = \theta e_3, \quad \text{in} \quad R_+ \times T^3, \\ \text{div} v = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad R_+ \times T^3, \\ \partial_t \theta + v \cdot \nabla \theta - \partial_{yy} \theta = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad R_+ \times T^3, \end{cases}$$

by a similar argument, we also can construct Hölder continuous weak solution with compact support in time.

2. Setup and Plan of the paper

As in [17], the proof of theorem 1.1 will be achieved through an iteration procedure. In what follows $\mathcal{S}^{3\times 3}$ denotes the vector space of symmetric 3×3 matrices.

Definition 2.1. *Assume that v, p, θ, R, f are smooth functions on $R_+ \times T^3$ taking values, respectively, in $R^3, R, R, \mathcal{S}^{3\times 3}, R^3$. We say that they solve the anisotropic Boussinesq-Stress system if*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla p - \partial_{zz} v = \theta e_3 + \text{div} R, \quad \text{in} \quad R_+ \times T^3, \\ \text{div} v = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad R_+ \times T^3, \\ \partial_t \theta + v \cdot \nabla \theta - \partial_{zz} \theta = \text{div} f, \quad \text{in} \quad R_+ \times T^3. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

2.1. **Some notation on norm.** In the following, $m = 0, 1, 2, ...$ and β is a multi index. We denote the norm $\|f\|_0$ by

$$\|f\|_0 := \sup_{T^3} |f|.$$

Then, define the semi-norm

$$[f]_m := \max_{|\beta|=m} \|\nabla^\beta f\|_0$$

and norm

$$\|f\|_m := \sum_{j=0}^{m} [f]_j.$$

If $f = f_1 + i f_2$ is a complex-valued function, then we set $\|f\|_m := \|f_1\|_m + \|f_2\|_m$.

Moreover, for function depending on space and time, we introduce the following space-time norm:

$$\|f\|_m := \sup_t \|f(t, \cdot)\|_m, \quad \|f\|_{C^1} := \|f\|_1 + \|\partial_t f\|_0, \quad \|f\|_{C_z^1} = \|f\|_0 + \|\partial_z f\|_0.$$

We now state the main proposition of the paper, of which Theorem 1.1 is a corollary.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $1 < a < b < 4$ be any two numbers and $\varepsilon > 0$ be any small constant. Then there exists a absolute positive constant M such that the following properties hold:*

For any $0 < \kappa \leq 1$, if $(v,\ p,\ \theta,\ R,\ f) \in C_c^\infty((a, b) \times T^3)$ solves Boussinesq-Stress system (2.1) and

$$\|R\|_0 \leq \kappa, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\|f\|_0 \leq \kappa, \tag{2.3}$$

set

$$\Lambda := \max\{1, \|R\|_{C^1}, \|f\|_{C^1}, \|v\|_{C^1}, \|\theta\|_{C^1}\}, \quad \bar{\Lambda} := \max\{1, \|R\|_{C^1_z}, \|f\|_{C^1_z}, \|v\|_{C^1_z}, \|\theta\|_{C^1_z}\}, \tag{2.4}$$

then for any $\bar{\kappa} \le \kappa^{\frac{3}{2}}$, *we can construct new functions* $(\tilde{v},\ \tilde{p},\ \tilde{\theta},\ \tilde{R},\ \tilde{f}) \in C_c^\infty((a-\kappa, b+\kappa)\times T^3)$, *which also solves Boussinesq-Stress system (2.1) and satisfies*

$$\|\tilde{R}\|_0 \le \bar{\kappa}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_0 \le \bar{\kappa}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\|\tilde{v} - v\|_0 \le M\sqrt{\kappa}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\|\tilde{\theta} - \theta\|_0 \le M\sqrt{\kappa}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\tilde{p} = p, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_1 :=& \max\{1, \|\tilde{R}\|_{C^1}, \|\tilde{f}\|_{C^1}, \|\tilde{v}\|_{C^1}, \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{C^1}\} \\
\le& A\Big(\kappa^{\frac{6+11\varepsilon}{2}}\Big(\frac{\kappa}{\bar{\kappa}^2}\Big)^{6+16\varepsilon}\Lambda^{(1+\varepsilon)^6} + \frac{\kappa^{5.5+11\varepsilon}\bar{\Lambda}^{2(1+\varepsilon)^5}}{\bar{\kappa}^{6+16\varepsilon}}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{\bar{\kappa}}\Big)^{7+21\varepsilon}\Big), \\
\bar{\Lambda}_1 :=& \max\{1, \|\tilde{R}\|_{C^1_z}, \|\tilde{f}\|_{C^1_z}, \|\tilde{v}\|_{C^1_z}, \|\tilde{\theta}\|_{C^1_z}\} \le A\Big(\frac{\kappa}{\bar{\kappa}}\Big)^6\bar{\Lambda}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_z\tilde{\theta}\|_0 \le& A\Big(\frac{\kappa}{\bar{\kappa}}\Big)^3\bar{\Lambda}, \\
\|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y)\tilde{\theta}\|_0 \le& A\Big(\kappa^{\frac{3+\varepsilon}{2}}\Big(\frac{\kappa}{\bar{\kappa}^2}\Big)^{3+4\varepsilon}\Lambda^{(1+\varepsilon)^3} + \frac{\kappa^{\frac{5}{2}+\varepsilon}\bar{\Lambda}^{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}}{\bar{\kappa}^{3+4\varepsilon}}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{\bar{\kappa}}\Big)^{4+6\varepsilon}\Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where the constant A *depends on* $\varepsilon, \|v\|_0$.

We will prove Proposition 2.1 in the subsequent sections. Now, we show how to obtain Theorem 1.1 from this proposition.

Proof of theorem 1.1. We first set

$$v_0 := 10M\chi(t)\begin{pmatrix}\cos(\lambda y)\\ 0\\ 0\end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta_0 := 10M\chi(t)\cos(\lambda y), \quad p_0 := 10M\chi'(t)\frac{\sin(\lambda z)}{\lambda},$$

$$R_0 := 10M\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \chi'(t)\frac{\sin(\lambda y)}{\lambda} & 0\\ \chi'(t)\frac{\sin(\lambda y)}{\lambda} & 0 & -\chi(t)\frac{\sin(\lambda y)}{\lambda}\\ 0 & -\chi(t)\frac{\sin(\lambda y)}{\lambda} & \chi'(t)\frac{\sin(\lambda z)}{\lambda}\end{pmatrix}, \quad f_0 := 10M\chi'(t)\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \frac{\sin(\lambda y)}{\lambda}\\ 0\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\chi(t) \in C_c^\infty(1,4)$, $\chi = 1$ in $(2,3)$ and $0 \le \chi \le 1$.
Obviously, they solve Boussinesq-Stress system (2.1). We take $a, b \ge \frac{3}{2}$ and set $\kappa_n := a^{-b^n}$, $\quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Then taking λ sufficiently large such that

$$\|R_0\|_0 \le \kappa_0,\ \|f_0\|_0 \le \kappa_0.$$

Then, by using Proposition 2.1 iteratively, we can construct

$$(v_n,\ p_n,\ \theta_n,\ R_n,\ f_n) \in C_c^\infty((1 - \sum_{i=0}^n \kappa_i, 4 + \sum_{i=0}^n \kappa_i)\times T^3), \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots$$

such that they solve system (2.1) and satisfy the following estimates

$$\|R_n\|_0 \le \kappa_n, \tag{2.12}$$
$$\|f_n\|_0 \le \kappa_n, \tag{2.13}$$
$$\|v_{n+1}-v_n\|_0 \le M\sqrt{\kappa_n}, \tag{2.14}$$
$$\|\theta_{n+1}-\theta_n\|_0 \le M\sqrt{\kappa_n}, \tag{2.15}$$
$$p_{n+1} = p_n, \tag{2.16}$$
$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{n+1} &:= \max\{1, \|R_{n+1}\|_{C^1}, \|f_{n+1}\|_{C^1}, \|v_{n+1}\|_{C^1}, \|\theta_{n+1}\|_{C^1}\}\\ &\le A\Big(\kappa_n^{\frac{6+11\varepsilon}{2}}\big(\tfrac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}^2}\big)^{6+16\varepsilon}\Lambda_n^{(1+\varepsilon)^6} + \tfrac{\kappa_n^{5.5+11\varepsilon}\bar\Lambda_n^{2(1+\varepsilon)^5}}{\kappa_{n+1}^{6+16\varepsilon}}\big(\tfrac{\sqrt{\kappa_n}}{\kappa_{n+1}}\big)^{7+21\varepsilon}\Big),\\ \bar\Lambda_{n+1} &:= \max\{1, \|R_{n+1}\|_{C_z^1}, \|f_{n+1}\|_{C_z^1}, \|v_{n+1}\|_{C_z^1}, \|\theta_{n+1}\|_{C_z^1}\} \le A\big(\tfrac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}}\big)^6\bar\Lambda_n.\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_z\theta_{n+1}\|_0 \le& A\Big(\frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}}\Big)^3\bar\Lambda_n,\\ \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\theta_{n+1}\|_0 \le& A\Big(\kappa_n^{\frac{3+\varepsilon}{2}}\big(\frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}^2}\big)^{3+4\varepsilon}\Lambda_n^{(1+\varepsilon)^3} + \frac{\kappa_n^{\frac{5}{2}+\varepsilon}\bar\Lambda_n^{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}}{\kappa_{n+1}^{3+4\varepsilon}}\big(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa_n}}{\kappa_{n+1}}\big)^{4+6\varepsilon}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

It's obvious that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\kappa_i < \frac{1}{2}$. By (2.12)-(2.16), we know that $(v_n,\ p_n,\ \theta_n,\ R_n,\ f_n)$ are Cauchy sequence in $C_c((0,5)\times T^3)$, therefore there exist

$$(v,p,\theta) \in C_c((0,5)\times T^3)$$

such that

$$v_n \to v, \quad p_n \to p, \quad \theta_n \to \theta, \quad R_n \to 0, \quad f_n \to 0$$

in $C_c((0,5)\times T^3)$.

By (2.14),

$$\|v-v_0\|_0 \le M\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sqrt{\kappa_n} < 4M,$$

therefore

$$\|v\|_0 \ge 6M,\ v \ne 0.$$

Similarly, by (2.15),

$$\theta \ne 0.$$

Passing into the limit in (2.1), we conclude that $v,\ p,\ \theta$ solve (2.1) in the sense of distribution.

Next, we prove that the solution v,θ is Hölder continuous. We claim that for a suitable choice of a,b, there exist constants $c,\bar c > 1$ such that

$$\Lambda_n \le a^{cb^n}, \quad \bar\Lambda_n \le a^{\bar c b^n}.$$

We prove this claim by induction.

Indeed, for $n=0$, it's obvious if we take $a \ge \Lambda_0 := \max\{1, \|R_0\|_1, \|f_0\|_1, \|v_0\|_1, \|\theta_0\|_1\}$. Assuming that we have proved $\bar\Lambda_n \le a^{\bar c b^n}$, then

$$\bar\Lambda_{n+1} \le A\Big(\frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}}\Big)^6\bar\Lambda_n \le Aa^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}a^{\bar c b^{n+1}}a^{\left(6(b-1)+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\bar c-\bar c b\right)b^n}.$$

We impose $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2000}$ and set

$$b = \frac{3}{2}, \quad \bar c = 6+\varepsilon,$$

then we have

$$\bar\Lambda_{n+1} \le Aa^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}a^{\bar c b^{n+1}}.$$

Then by choosing $a \geq A^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}$, we have $\bar{\Lambda}_{n+1} \leq a^{\bar{c}b^{n+1}}$. Finally, we take $a := \max\{A^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}, \Lambda_0\}$, then the constant a satisfies all conditions. Moreover, assuming that we have proved $\Lambda_n \leq a^{cb^n}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{n+1} \leq & A\Big(\kappa_n^{\frac{6+11\varepsilon}{2}}\Big(\frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}^2}\Big)^{6+16\varepsilon}\Lambda_n^{(1+\varepsilon)^6} + \frac{\kappa_n^{5.5+11\varepsilon}\bar{\Lambda}_n^{2(1+\varepsilon)^5}}{\kappa_{n+1}^{6+16\varepsilon}}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa_n}}{\kappa_{n+1}}\Big)^{7+21\varepsilon}\Big),\\ \leq & Aa^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}a^{cb^{n+1}}\Big(a^{\big(9+27\varepsilon+c(1+\varepsilon)^6-\frac{3}{2}c\big)b^n} + a^{\big(22.5+100\varepsilon-\frac{3}{2}c\big)b^n}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$c = \frac{18+54\varepsilon}{1-14\varepsilon},$$

then we have

$$\Lambda_{n+1} \leq Aa^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}a^{cb^{n+1}} \leq a^{cb^{n+1}}.$$

Now we consider the approximate sequence v_n, θ_n. By (2.14), we have

$$\|v_{n+1} - v_n\|_0 \leq Ma^{-\frac{1}{2}b^n}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|v_{n+1} - v_n\|_{C^1} \leq \Lambda_n + \Lambda_{n+1} \leq 2a^{cb^{n+1}}, \quad \|\partial_z(v_{n+1} - v_n)\|_0 \leq \bar{\Lambda}_n + \bar{\Lambda}_{n+1} \leq 2a^{\bar{c}b^{n+1}}.$$

Therefore, for any $\alpha, \alpha' \in (0,1)$,

$$\|v_{n+1} - v_n\|_{C^\alpha} \leq 2Ma^{\big(\alpha cb - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}\big)b^n}, \quad \|v_{n+1} - v_n\|_{C_z^{\alpha'}} \leq 2Ma^{\big(\alpha'\bar{c}b - \frac{(1-\alpha')}{2}\big)b^n}. \tag{2.19}$$

If $\alpha < \frac{1}{1+2bc}$, then $\alpha cb - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2} < 0$, thus v_n are Cauchy sequence in C^α. Take the value of b, c, we know that $v \in C^\alpha$ for any $\alpha < \frac{1-14\varepsilon}{55+148\varepsilon}$. When $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have $\frac{1-14\varepsilon}{55+148\varepsilon} \to \frac{1}{55}$.
If $\alpha' < \frac{1}{1+2b\bar{c}}$, then v_n are Cauchy sequence in $C_z^{\alpha'}$. Thus, we know that $v \in C_z^{\alpha'}$ for any $\alpha' < \frac{1}{19+3\varepsilon}$. By (2.16), we know that $p \in C^\infty$. By (2.15), we have

$$\|\theta_{n+1} - \theta_n\|_0 \leq Ma^{-\frac{1}{2}b^n}.$$

Moreover, by (2.18), we have

$$\|\partial_z\theta_{n+1}\|_0 \leq a^{(7.5+\varepsilon)b^n}, \quad \|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y)\theta_{n+1}\|_0 \leq a^{\big(4.5+8\varepsilon+c(1+\varepsilon)^3\big)b^n}.$$

By interpolation, for any $\gamma, \gamma' \in (0,1)$, we have

$$\|\theta_{n+1} - \theta_n\|_{C_z^{\gamma'}} \leq 2Ma^{\big(\gamma'(7.5+\varepsilon) - \frac{(1-\gamma')}{2}\big)b^n}, \quad \|\theta_{n+1} - \theta_n\|_{C^\gamma} \leq 2Ma^{\Big(\gamma\big(4.5+8\varepsilon+c(1+\varepsilon)^3\big) - \frac{(1-\gamma)}{2}\Big)b^n}.$$

Take $\gamma' < \frac{1}{15+2\varepsilon}$ and $\gamma < \frac{1}{9+16\varepsilon+2c(1+\varepsilon)^3}$, then θ_n converge in $C_z^{\gamma'}$ and C^γ, which implies that $\theta \in C^\gamma$ and $\theta \in C_z^{\gamma'}$. When $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have $\frac{1}{9+16\varepsilon+2c(1+\varepsilon)^3} \to \frac{1}{45}$. Thus, we complete our proof for the theorem. □

2.2. **Outline of the construction.**

The rest of the paper will be dedicated to prove Proposition 2.1. The construction of the functions $\tilde{v}, \tilde{\theta}$ consists of several steps. In the first step, we adding perturbations to v_0, θ_0 and get new functions v_{01}, θ_{01} as following:

$$v_{01} = v_0 + w_{1o} + w_{1c} := v_0 + w_1, \quad \theta_{01} = \theta_0 + \chi_{1o} + \chi_{1c} := \theta_0 + \chi_1,$$

where $w_{1o}, w_{1c}, \chi_{1o}, \chi_{1c}$ are highly oscillatory functions and have explicit formula. Having added the perturbation, we will focus on finding functions R_{01}, p_{01} and f_{01} with the desired estimates which solve system (2.1). The main perturbation w_{1o} and χ_{1o} will depend on four parameters, $\ell_1,\ \ell_{1z},\ \mu$ and λ_1, which will satisfy some additional conditions.

After the first step, the stresses (R_{01}, f_{01}) become smaller in the following sense: if

$$e(t)\sum_{i=1}^{6} k_i \otimes k_i - R_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i^2 k_i \otimes k_i, \quad f_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_i k_i,$$

where k_i is defined in (3.1), then

$$R_{01} = \sum_{i=2}^{6} a_i^2 k_i \otimes k_i + \delta R_{01}, \quad f_{01} = \sum_{i=2}^{3} b_i k_i + \delta f_{01},$$

where $\delta R_{01}, \delta f_0$ can be arbitrary small through the appropriate choice on $\ell_1,\ \ell_{1z},\ \mu,\ \lambda_1$.

We repeat the above process, after six steps, we can obtain the desired $(\tilde{v},\ \tilde{p},\ \tilde{\theta},\ \tilde{R},\ \tilde{f})$.

The rest of paper is organized as follows: in section 3, we give a kind of decomposition of symmetric matrix and introduce two operators which are extensions of [17]. After these preliminaries, we perform the first step in sections 4, 5, 6. In section 4, we not only define the perturbation $w_{1o}, w_{1c}, \chi_{1o}, \chi_{1c}$ and new stress R_{01}, f_{01}, but also prescribed the constant M of the estimates in Proposition 2.1. In sections 5 and 6, we will calculate the main forms of R_{01}, f_{01} and prove the relevant estimates of the various terms involved in the construction, in term of the parameters $\lambda_1, \mu, \ell_1, \ell_{1z}$ separately. After completing the first step, in sections 7, 8 and 9 we will construct $(v_{0n}, p_{0n}, \theta_{0n}, R_{0n}, f_{0n})$ and prove relevant estimates by induction. First, we give construction in section 7 which is similar to the first step in section 4. After completing the construction, we calculate the main form R_{0n}, f_{0n} in section 8 and prove the various error estimate in section 9 separately. Those two sections are also similar to section 5 and section 6 separately. Finally, in section 10, we will give a proof of Proposition 2.1 by choosing appropriate parameters $\mu, \lambda_n, \ell_n, \ell_{nz}$ for $1 \le n \le 6$.

3. Preliminaries

3.1. **Decomposition of symmetric matrix and vector.**

Let

$$\begin{aligned} &k_1 = (1,0,0)^T, \quad k_2 = (0,1,0)^T, \quad k_3 = (1,0,1)^T, \\ &k_4 = (1,1,0)^T, \quad k_5 = (0,1,1)^T, \quad k_6 = (1,1,1)^T, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

then we have

$$k_1 \otimes k_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k_2 \otimes k_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k_3 \otimes k_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$k_4 \otimes k_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k_5 \otimes k_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k_6 \otimes k_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously, the above matrices form a basic of the space $\mathcal{S}^{3\times 3}$, hence we have the following unique decomposition: there exist $\gamma_i \in C^\infty(\mathcal{S}^{3\times 3})$ such that for any $R \in \mathcal{S}^{3\times 3}$,

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \gamma_i(R) k_i \otimes k_i. \tag{3.2}$$

In fact, if we denote a symmetric matrix

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & R_{13} \\ R_{12} & R_{22} & R_{23} \\ R_{13} & R_{23} & R_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

then we take

$$\gamma_1(R) = R_{11} - R_{12} - R_{33} + R_{23}, \quad \gamma_2(R) = R_{22} - R_{33} - R_{12} + R_{13}, \quad \gamma_3(R) = R_{33} - R_{23},$$
$$\gamma_4(R) = R_{12} - R_{13} + R_{33} - R_{23}, \quad \gamma_5(R) = R_{33} - R_{13}, \quad \gamma_6(R) = R_{13} - R_{33} + R_{23}.$$

A straightforward computation give that $\gamma_i(R)$ satisfies (3.2). Moreover, it's obvious that they are smooth function.

Furthermore, we also have the following unique decomposition of 3-d vectors: there exist linear functions $b_i \in C^\infty(R^3)$ such that for any $f \in R^3$,

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_i(f) k_i. \tag{3.3}$$

In fact, we take

$$(b_1(f), b_2(f), b_3(f)) = (2f \cdot k_1 - f \cdot k_3, f \cdot k_2, f \cdot k_3 - f \cdot k_1).$$

Obviously, b_i is smooth, linear function and satisfies (3.3).

3.2. **The operator $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{G}$.**

We define two operators in order to deal with the stress error. The operator $\mathcal{R}$ was introduced in [23] and the operator $\mathcal{G}$ is given by us.

3.2.1. *The operator $\mathcal{R}$.* The following lemma is taken from [23], we copy it here for the completeness of the paper (the proof refers to [23]).

Lemma 3.1 ($\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{div}^{-1}$)**.** *There exists a linear operator $\mathcal{R}$ from $C^\infty(T^3, R^3)$ to $C^\infty(T^3, \mathcal{S}^{3\times 3})$ such that the following property holds: for any $v \in C^\infty(T^3, R^3)$ we have*

1. *$\mathcal{R}v(x)$ is a symmetric matrix for each $x \in T^3$;*
2. *$\mathrm{div}\mathcal{R}v = v - \fint_{T^3} v$.*
3. *Let $F(x) = a(x)e^{i\lambda k\cdot x}$ be a smooth vector field on T^3 with $\lambda \in \mathrm{N}, k \in R^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\int_{T^3} F(x)dx = 0$. Then for any integer $m \geq 1$, we have the estimate*

$$\|\mathcal{R}(F)\|_0 \leq C_m\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\|a\|_i}{\lambda^{i+1}} + \frac{\|a\|_m}{\lambda^m}\Big). \tag{3.4}$$

Here and subsequent, we use the natation $\fint_{T^3} v(x)dx = \frac{1}{|T^3|}\int_{T^3} v(x)dx$.

3.2.2. *The operator $\mathcal{G}$.*

Let $f(x) = \varphi(x)e^{i\lambda k\cdot x}$ with $\varphi(x) \in C^\infty(T^3; C)$ and $\int_{T^3} f(x)dx = 0$. Set

$$\mathcal{G}f_o(x) := \frac{k}{i\lambda|k|^2}\varphi(x)e^{i\lambda k\cdot x},$$

then

$$\mathrm{div}\mathcal{G}f_o(x) = f(x) + \frac{k\cdot\nabla\varphi(x)}{i\lambda|k|^2}e^{i\lambda k\cdot x}.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{G}f_{c1}(x) := -\frac{k}{(i\lambda)^2|k|^4}k\cdot\nabla\varphi(x)e^{i\lambda k\cdot x},$$

then

$$\mathrm{div}(\mathcal{G}f_o + \mathcal{G}f_{c1})(x) = f(x) - \frac{(k\cdot\nabla)^2\varphi(x)}{(i\lambda)^2|k|^4}e^{i\lambda k\cdot x}.$$

It's obvious that

$$\|\mathcal{G}f_o\|_0 \leq C_0\frac{\|\varphi\|_0}{\lambda}, \quad \|\mathcal{G}f_{c1}\|_0 \leq C_0\frac{\|\varphi\|_1}{\lambda^2}.$$

Performing this process, for any integer $m \geq 2$, there exist $\mathcal{G}f_{ci} : i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1$ such that

$$\mathrm{div}\Big(\mathcal{G}f_o + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{G}f_{ci}\Big)(x) = f(x) + (-1)^{m-1}\frac{(k\cdot\nabla)^m\varphi(x)}{(i\lambda)^m|k|^{2m}}e^{i\lambda k\cdot x}, \quad \|\mathcal{G}f_{ci}\|_0 \leq C_0\frac{\|\varphi\|_i}{\lambda^{i+1}}.$$

Since

$$\int_{T^3} f(x)dx = 0, \quad \int_{T^3}\mathrm{div}\Big(\mathcal{G}f_o + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{G}f_{ci}\Big)(x)dx = 0,$$

therefore

$$\int_{T^3}\frac{(k\cdot\nabla)^m\varphi(x)}{(i\lambda|k|^2)^m}e^{i\lambda k\cdot x}dx = 0.$$

Thus, there exists $\mathcal{G}f_{cm} \in C^\infty(T^3; C^3)$ such that

$$\mathrm{div}\mathcal{G}f_{cm}(x) = (-1)^m\frac{(k\cdot\nabla)^m\varphi(x)}{(i\lambda)^m|k|^{2m}}e^{i\lambda k\cdot x}, \quad \|\mathcal{G}f_{cm}\|_0 \leq C_m\frac{\|\nabla^m\varphi\|_0}{\lambda^m}.$$

In fact, there exists $\mathcal{G}f_{cm} \in C^\infty(T^3)$ such that

$$\int_{T^3}\mathcal{G}f_{cm}(x)dx = 0, \quad \|\nabla\mathcal{G}f_{cm}\|_4 \leq C_0\Big\|\frac{(k\cdot\nabla)^m\varphi}{(i\lambda)^m|k|^{2m}}\Big\|_4 \leq C_m\frac{\|\nabla^m\varphi\|_0}{\lambda^m}.$$

Thus, we have $\|\mathcal{G}f_{cm}\|_{W^{1,4}} \leq C_0\frac{\|\nabla^m\varphi\|_0}{\lambda^m}$. In particular, we have $\|\mathcal{G}f_{cm}\|_0 \leq C_m\frac{\|\nabla^m\varphi\|_0}{\lambda^m}$ which is what we claimed.

Finally, we set

$$\mathcal{G}f := \mathcal{G}f_o + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathcal{G}f_{ci},$$

then

$$\mathrm{div}\mathcal{G}f = f, \quad \mathcal{G}f \in C^\infty(T^3; C^3), \quad \|\mathcal{G}f\|_0 \leq C_m\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\frac{\|\varphi\|_i}{\lambda^{i+1}} + \frac{\|\varphi\|_m}{\lambda^m}\Big).$$

In conclusion, we have

Lemma 3.2. *Let the vector space Ψ given by*

$$\Psi := \Big\{H(x) : H(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} H_j(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j(x)e^{i\lambda_j k\cdot x},\ b_j \in C^\infty(T^3; C) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{T^3} H_j(x)dx = 0\Big\},$$

then there exists a linear operator $\mathcal{G} : \Psi \to C^\infty(T^3; C^3)$ such that for any positive integer m and any $H(x) = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} b_j(x)e^{i\lambda_j k\cdot x} \in \Psi$,

$$\mathrm{div}\mathcal{G}(H)(x) = H(x), \quad \|\mathcal{G}(H)(x)\|_0 \leq C_m\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\frac{\|b_j\|_i}{\lambda_j^{i+1}} + \frac{\|b_i\|_m}{\lambda_j^m}\Big). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. We have defined the operator $\mathcal{G}$ on the functions

$$H_j(x) = b_j(x)e^{i\lambda_j k\cdot x}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{G}(H_j)\|_0 \leq C_m\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\frac{\|b_j\|_i}{\lambda_j^{i+1}} + \frac{\|b_j\|_m}{\lambda^m}\Big).$$

Then, set

$$\mathcal{G}(H)(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathcal{G}(H_j)(x).$$

It is obvious that $\mathcal{G}$ is a linear operator on Ψ and $\mathcal{G}H(x)$ satisfies (3.5). □

4. THE CONSTRUCTION OF $v_{01}, p_{01}, \theta_{01}, R_{01}, f_{01}$

The construction of $\tilde{v},\ \tilde{p},\ \tilde{\theta},\ \tilde{R},\ \tilde{f}$ from $v,\ p,\ \theta,\ R,\ f$ consists of many steps. The main idea is to decompose the stress error into many blocks by (3.2) and (3.3), then we remove one block in each step. In this section, we perform the first step.

For convenience, we set $v_0 := v,\ p_0 := p,\ \theta_0 := \theta,\ R_0 := R,\ f_0 := f$.

4.1. Construction of 1-th perturbation w_1 on velocity.

4.1.1. *Conditions on the parameters.* Our construction depend on four parameters $\mu, \lambda_1, \ell_1, \ell_{1z}$ and we always assume that they satisfy the following inequalities:

$$\mu \geq \frac{1}{\kappa}, \quad \ell_1^{-1} \geq \mu\Lambda, \quad \ell_{1z}^{-1} \geq \mu\bar{\Lambda}, \quad \ell_{1z} \geq \ell_1, \quad \lambda_1 \geq \ell_1^{-(1+\varepsilon)}, \quad \mu, \lambda_1, \frac{\lambda_1}{\mu} \in \mathrm{N}. \tag{4.1}$$

4.1.2. *Partition of unity and decomposition of stress error.* We first introduce a partition of unity. From [7], we have the following partition of unity: for two constants c_1 and c_2 such that $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} < c_1 < c_2 < 1$, we have a family of functions $\alpha_l \in C_c^\infty(R^3) : l \in Z^3$ such that

$$\sum_{l\in Z^3} \alpha_l^2 = 1, \qquad \mathrm{supp}\alpha_l \subseteq B_{c_2}(l). \tag{4.2}$$

Next, let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(R)$ be a standard nonnegative function and we denote the corresponding family of mollifiers by

$$\varphi_\ell(x) := \frac{1}{\ell}\varphi\Big(\frac{x}{\ell}\Big)$$

and set

$$f_{\ell_1}(t,x,y,z) := f * (\varphi_{\ell_1}(t)\varphi_{\ell_1}(x)\varphi_{\ell_1}(y)\varphi_{\ell_{1z}}(z)) \tag{4.3}$$

for any $f \in C_c^\infty((a,b)\times T^3)$.

By (3.2), for symmetric matrix $R_0 \in C_c^\infty((a,b)\times T^3)$, there holds

$$R_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \gamma_i(R_0) k_i \otimes k_i.$$

We set $a_i := \gamma_i(R_0) \in C_c^\infty((a,b)\times T^3)$, thus we have

$$R_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} a_i k_i \otimes k_i. \tag{4.4}$$

Similarly, by (3.3), for vector $f_0 \in C_c^\infty((a,b)\times T^3)$, there exist functions $c_i := b_i(f) \in C_c^\infty((a,b)\times T^3) : i = 1,2,3$ such that

$$f_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i k_i. \tag{4.5}$$

Thus,

$$R_{0\ell_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} a_{i\ell_1} k_i \otimes k_i, \quad f_{0\ell_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{i\ell_1} k_i. \tag{4.6}$$

By (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\|a_{i\ell_1}\|_0 \le 5\kappa, \quad \|c_{i\ell_1}\|_0 \le 2\kappa. \tag{4.7}$$

Then, we denote $e(t) \in C_c^\infty(a-\kappa, b+\kappa)$ by

$$e(t) = \begin{cases} 10\kappa, & t \in [a-\frac{\kappa}{2}, b+\frac{\kappa}{2}], \\ 0, & t \in (a-\kappa, b+\kappa)^c \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

and $0 \le e(t) \le 10\kappa$.

4.1.3. *Construction of* 1*-th main perturbation.* For any $l \in Z^3$, we set

$$b_{1l} := \sqrt{\frac{e(t) - a_{1\ell_1}}{2}}\alpha_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1}). \tag{4.9}$$

By (4.1) and (4.7), (4.8), we know that b_{1l} is well-defined. Then, as in [25], set $[l] := \sum_{j=0}^{2} 2^j[l_j]$ if $l = (l_0, l_1, l_2)$, where

$$[l_j] = \begin{cases} 1, \ l_j \text{ is even}, \\ 0, \ l_j \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $[l]$ can only take values in $\{0, 1, 2, ..., 7\}$.

We denote main l-perturbation w_{1ol} by

$$w_{1ol} := b_{1l}k_1\Big(e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp, 0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp, 0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big) \tag{4.10}$$

and 1-th main perturbation w_{1o} by

$$w_{1o} := \sum_{l\in Z^3} w_{1ol}, \tag{4.11}$$

where k_1 is taken from (3.1), k_{1h} is the horizontal component of k_1 and $a^\perp = (-a_2, a_1)^T$ if $a = (a_1, a_2)^T$.

Obviously, w_{1ol}, w_{1o} are all real 3-dimensional vector-valued functions. By (4.2), $\mathrm{supp}\alpha_l \cap \mathrm{supp}\alpha_{l'} = \emptyset$ if $|l - l'| \ge 2$, therefore there is only finite term in the above summation.

Furthermore, by (4.7) and (4.8), we can take an absolute constant M such that $\|b_{1l}\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{300}$. Thus, by (4.2), we have

$$\|w_{1o}\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{12}. \tag{4.12}$$

4.1.4. *Construction of correction* w_{1c}. We assume that $k_1 = (k_{11}, k_{12}, k_{13})$, $(k_{11}, k_{12}) \neq 0$ and taking $(s_1, t_1) \in R^2$ such that $s_1k_{11} + t_1k_{12} = -k_{13}$. Then, denote l-th correction w_{1cl} by

$$w_{1cl} := \begin{pmatrix} -t_1\partial_z b_{1l} + \partial_y b_{1l} \\ s_1\partial_z b_{1l} - \partial_x b_{1l} \\ t_1\partial_x b_{1l} - s_1\partial_y b_{1l} \end{pmatrix} \left(\frac{e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp, 0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}}{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}} + \frac{e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp, 0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}}{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}} \right) \tag{4.13}$$

and 1-th correction w_{1c} by

$$w_{1c} := \sum_{l\in Z^3} w_{1cl}. \tag{4.14}$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$w_{1ol} + w_{1cl} = \mathrm{curl}\Big(b_{1l}\vec{a}_1\Big(\frac{e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp, 0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}}{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}} + \frac{e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp, 0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}}{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}}\Big)\Big),$$

where $\vec{a}_1 = (s_1, t_1, 1)$.

Finally, set 1-th perturbation

$$w_1 := w_{1o} + w_{1c}. \tag{4.15}$$

Thus, if we denote w_{1l} by

$$w_{1l} := w_{1ol} + w_{1cl}, \tag{4.16}$$

then

$$w_1 = \sum_{l\in Z^3} w_{1l}, \qquad \mathrm{div} w_{1l} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{div} w_1 = 0.$$

Moreover, if we set

$$g_{1l} := b_{1l}k_1 + \frac{1}{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}}\begin{pmatrix} -t_1\partial_z b_{1l} + \partial_y b_{1l} \\ s_1\partial_z b_{1l} - \partial_x b_{1l} \\ t_1\partial_x b_{1l} - s_1\partial_y b_{1l} \end{pmatrix}, g_{-1l} := b_{1l}k_1 + \frac{1}{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}}\begin{pmatrix} -t_1\partial_z b_{1l} + \partial_y b_{1l} \\ s_1\partial_z b_{1l} - \partial_x b_{1l} \\ t_1\partial_x b_{1l} - s_1\partial_y b_{1l} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.17}$$

then

$$w_{1l} = g_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}k_1^{\perp}\cdot\left((y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + g_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}k_1^{\perp}\cdot\left((y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}. \tag{4.18}$$

It's obvious that $b_{1l} \in C_c^\infty((a-\kappa, b+\kappa)\times T^3)$, therefore

$$w_{1ol}, w_{1cl}, w_{1l}, w_1 \in C_c^\infty((a-\kappa, b+\kappa)\times T^3).$$

Thus we complete the construction of perturbation w_1 on velocity.

4.2. **Construction of 1-th perturbation χ_1 on temperature.**

To construct χ_1, we first denote β_{1l} by

$$\beta_{1l} := -\frac{c_{1\ell_1}}{\sqrt{2(e(t)-a_{1\ell_1})}}\alpha_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1}). \tag{4.19}$$

Since $\mathrm{supp} c_{1\ell_1} \subseteq (a-\ell_1, b+\ell_1)\times T^3$ and $e(t) - a_{1\ell_1}(t,x,y,z) \geq \kappa \quad \text{in} \quad (a-\ell_1, b+\ell_1)\times T^3$, so β_{1l} is well-defined. Then denote main l-perturbation χ_{1ol} by

$$\chi_{1ol} := \beta_{1l}\Big(e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big),$$

l-correction χ_{1cl} by

$$\chi_{1cl} := \frac{\nabla\beta_{1l}\cdot(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)}{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}|k_{1h}|^2}\Big(e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} - e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)$$

and l-perturbation χ_{1l} by

$$\chi_{1l} := \chi_{1ol} + \chi_{1cl} = \mathrm{div}\Big(\frac{\beta_{1l}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)}{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}|k_{1h}|^2}\Big(e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} - e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)\Big).$$

Finally, set

$$\chi_{1o} := \sum_{l\in Z^3}\chi_{1ol}, \quad \chi_{1c} := \sum_{l\in Z^3}\chi_{1cl}$$

and 1-th perturbation χ_1

$$\chi_1 := \sum_{l\in Z^3}\chi_{1l}.$$

Thus, χ_{1l} and χ_1 are all real scalar functions, and similar to the perturbation w_1, there are only finite terms in the summation of χ_1. Furthermore, if we set

$$h_{1l} = \beta_{1l} + \frac{\nabla\beta_{1l}\cdot(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)}{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}|k_{1h}|^2}, \quad h_{-1l} = \beta_{1l} + \frac{\nabla\beta_{1l}\cdot(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)}{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}|k_{1h}|^2}, \tag{4.20}$$

then

$$\chi_{1l} = h_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + h_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)},$$
$$\chi_1 = \sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(h_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + h_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big).$$

Finally, by (4.7), (4.8) and (4.19), after possibly taking a bigger number M , we know that $\|\beta_{1l}\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{300}$. Thus, we obtain

$$\|\chi_1\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{6}. \tag{4.21}$$

4.3. **The construction of v_{01}, p_{01}, θ_{01}, R_{01}, f_{01}.**

First, we denote M_1, N_1, K_1 by

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 :=& \sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{1l}^2 k_1\otimes k_1\Big(e^{2i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + e^{-2i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)\\
&+\sum_{l,l'\in Z^3,l\neq l'} w_{1ol}\otimes w_{1ol'},\\
N_1 :=& \sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big[w_{1l}\otimes\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)+\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\otimes w_{1l}\Big] + \sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big[w_{1l}\otimes\big(v_0-v_{0\ell_1}\big)+\big(v_0-v_{0\ell_1}\big)\otimes w_{1l}\Big],\\
K_1 :=& \sum_{l\in Z^3}\beta_{1l}b_{1l}k_1\Big(e^{2i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + e^{-2i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big) + \sum_{l,l'\in Z^3,l\neq l'} w_{1ol}\chi_{1ol'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Notice that N_1 is a symmetric matrix. Then we set

$$v_{01} := v_0+w_1,\quad p_{01}:=p_0,\quad \theta_{01}:=\theta_0+\chi_1,\quad f_{01}:=f_{0\ell_1}+2\sum_{l\in Z^3}\beta_{1l}b_{1l}k_1+\delta f_{01},$$
$$R_{01} := -\Big(e(t)\sum_{i=1}^{6}k_i\otimes k_i - R_{0\ell_1}\Big)+2\sum_{l\in Z^3}b_{1l}^2k_1\otimes k_1+\delta R_{01}, \tag{4.23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta R_{01} =& \mathcal{R}(\mathrm{div}M_1)+N_1+\mathcal{R}\Big\{\partial_t w_1+\mathrm{div}\Big[\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(w_{1l}\otimes\frac{l}{\mu}+\frac{l}{\mu}\otimes w_{1l}\Big)\Big]\Big\}-\mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz}w_1)\\
&+(w_{1o}\otimes w_{1c}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1o}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1c})-\mathcal{R}(\chi_1 e_3)+R_0-R_{0\ell_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta f_{01} =& \mathcal{G}(\mathrm{div}K_1)+\mathcal{G}(w_1\cdot\nabla\theta_{0\ell_1})+\mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\chi_{1l}\Big)-\mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz}\chi_1)+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{1l}\\
&+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\big(v_0-v_{0\ell_1}\big)\chi_{1l}+w_{1c}\chi_1+w_{1o}\chi_{1c}+f_0-f_{0\ell_1}+w_1(\theta_0-\theta_{0\ell_1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we know that δR_{01} is a symmetric matrix. Obviously,

$$\mathrm{div}v_{01}=\mathrm{div}v_0+\mathrm{div}w_1=0.$$

Moreover, by the definition of $R_{01}, \delta R_{01}$ as well as $v_{01}, p_{01}, \theta_{01}$ and notice that $v_0, p_0, \theta_0, R_0, f_0$ are solutions of the system (2.1), together with Lemma 3.1 , we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{div}R_{01} =&\mathrm{div}R_0 + \partial_t w_1 - \chi_1 e_3 - \partial_{zz} w_1 \\
&+ \mathrm{div}(w_{1o}\otimes w_{1o} + w_1 \otimes v_0 + v_0 \otimes w_1 + w_{1o}\otimes w_{1c} + w_{1c}\otimes w_{1o} + w_{1c}\otimes w_{1c}) \\
=&\partial_t v_0 + \mathrm{div}(v_0 \otimes v_0) + \nabla p_0 - \partial_{zz} v_0 - \theta_0 e_3 + \partial_t w_1 - \chi_1 e_3 - \partial_{zz} w_1 \\
&+ \mathrm{div}(w_{1o}\otimes w_{1o} + w_1 \otimes v_0 + v_0 \otimes w_1 + w_{1o}\otimes w_{1c} + w_{1c}\otimes w_{1o} + w_{1c}\otimes w_{1c}) \\
=&\partial_t v_{01} + \mathrm{div}(v_{01}\otimes v_{01}) + \nabla p_{01} - \partial_{zz} v_{01} - \theta_{01} e_3,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\fint_{T^3} w_1(t,x,y,z)dxdydz = 0, \quad \fint_{T^3} \chi_1(t,x,y,z)dxdydz = 0,$$
$$\mathrm{div}(M_1) + \mathrm{div}\Big(2\sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{1l}^2 k_1 \otimes k_1\Big) = \mathrm{div}(w_{1o}\otimes w_{1o}).$$

Furthermore, from the definition of $f_{01}, \delta f_{01}$ as well as v_{01}, θ_{01} and notice that $v_0, p_0, \theta_0, R_0, f_0$ are solutions of the system (2.1), together with Lemma 3.2, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{div}f_{01} =&\mathrm{div}f_0 + \partial_t \chi_1 - \partial_{zz}\chi_1 + \mathrm{div}(w_{1o}\chi_1 + w_{1c}\chi_1 + v_0\chi_1 + w_1\theta_0) \\
=&\mathrm{div}(v_0\theta_0 + w_{1o}\chi_1 + w_{1c}\chi_1 + v_0\chi_1 + w_1\theta_0) + \partial_t(\theta_0 + \chi_1) - \partial_{zz}(\theta_0 + \chi_1) \\
=&\partial_t\theta_{01} + \mathrm{div}(v_{01}\theta_{01}) - \partial_{zz}\theta_{01},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\fint_{T^3} \chi_1(t,x,y,z)dxdydz = 0, \quad \mathrm{div}(K_1) + \mathrm{div}\Big(2\sum_{l\in Z^3}\beta_{1l}b_{1l}k_1\Big) = \mathrm{div}(w_{1o}\chi_{1o}).$$

Thus, the functions $(v_{01}, p_{01}, \theta_{01}, R_{01}, f_{01})$ solve the system (2.1).

5. The representations

In this section, we will compute the forms of

$$I := -\Big(e(t)\sum_{i=1}^6 k_i\otimes k_i - R_{0\ell_1}\Big) + 2\sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{1l}^2 k_1\otimes k_1, \quad II := f_{0\ell_1} + 2\sum_{l\in Z^3}\beta_{1l}b_{1l}k_1.$$

5.1. **The form of I.**

First, by the definition (4.9) on b_{1l} , we have

$$2\sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{1l}^2 k_1\otimes k_1 = \sum_{l\in Z^3}\alpha_l^2(\mu v_{0\ell_1})(e(t) - a_{1\ell_1})k_1\otimes k_1 = (e(t) - a_{1\ell_1})k_1\otimes k_1,$$

where we use $\sum\limits_{l\in Z^3}\alpha_l^2 = 1$.

Moreover, by the identity (3.2), we have

$$-\Big(e(t)\sum_{i=1}^6 k_i\otimes k_i - R_{0\ell_1}\Big) = -\sum_{i=1}^6 (e(t) - a_{i\ell_1})k_i\otimes k_i.$$

Therefore

$$-\Big(e(t)\sum_{i=1}^6 k_i\otimes k_i - R_{0\ell_1}\Big) + 2\sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{1l}^2 k_1\otimes k_1 = -\sum_{i=2}^6 (e(t) - a_{i\ell_1})k_i\otimes k_i.$$

Meanwhile, by (4.24), we arrive at

$$R_{01} = -\sum_{i=2}^{6}(e(t) - a_{i\ell_1})k_i \otimes k_i + \delta R_{01}. \tag{5.1}$$

Next section, we will prove that δR_{01} is small.

5.2. **The form of II.**

By the definition (4.9) on b_{1l} and (4.19) on β_{1l}, it's easy to obtain

$$2\sum_{l\in Z^3}\beta_{1l}b_{1l}k_1 = -\sum_{l\in Z^3}\alpha_l^2(\mu v_{0\ell_1})c_{1\ell_1}k_1 = -c_{1\ell_1}k_1.$$

Thus, using the identity (3.3), we have

$$f_{0\ell_1} + 2\sum_{l\in Z^3}\beta_{1l}b_{1l}k_1 = \sum_{i=2}^{3}c_{i\ell_1}k_i. \tag{5.2}$$

Meanwhile, by (4.25), we have

$$f_{01} = \sum_{i=2}^{3}c_{i\ell_1}k_i + \delta f_{01}. \tag{5.3}$$

Next section, we will prove that δf_{01} is small.

6. Estimate on δR_{01} and δf_{01}

In the subsequent estimates, unless otherwise stated, C_0 denotes a constant which depends on $\|v_0\|_0$, but does not depend on $\ell_1,\ \ell_{1z},\ \mu,\ \lambda_1$, and C_r will in addition to depend on r and both of them can vary from line to line.

In the following, we frequently use the elementary inequalities

$$[fg]_r \leq C_r\big([f]_r\|g\|_0 + [g]_r\|f\|_0\big) \tag{6.1}$$

for any $r \geq 0$. Moreover, by the standard estimates on convolution, (2.4), (4.4) and (4.5), we have

$$\|a_{i\ell_1}\|_r + \|c_{i\ell_1}\|_r + \|v_{0\ell_1}\|_r + \|\theta_{0\ell_1}\|_r \leq C_r\Lambda\ell_1^{1-r} \quad \text{for any r} \geq 1, \tag{6.2}$$

$$\|v_{0\ell_1} - v_0\|_0 + \|R_{0\ell_1} - R_0\|_0 + \|f_{0\ell_1} - f_0\|_0 + \|\theta_{0\ell_1} - \theta_0\|_0 \leq C_0(\Lambda\ell_1 + \bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}). \tag{6.3}$$

Now, we collect a classical estimate on the Hölder norms of compositions, their proof can be found in [18]. Let $u : R^n \to R^N$ and $\Psi : R^N \to R$ be two smooth functions. Then, for every $m \in \mathrm{N} \setminus 0$ there is a constant $C_0 = C_0(m, N, n)$ such that

$$[\Psi(u)]_m \leq C_0\sum_{i=1}^{m}[\Psi]_i[u]_1^{(i-1)\frac{m}{m-1}}[u]_m^{\frac{m-i}{m-1}}. \tag{6.4}$$

We summarize the main estimates on b_{1l} and β_{1l}.

Lemma 6.1. *For any integer $r \geq 1$, we have the following estimates, for any $t > 0$*

$$\|b_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\beta_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)}, \tag{6.5}$$
$$\|\partial_z b_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_z\beta_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-r}, \tag{6.6}$$
$$\|\partial_{zz} b_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_{zz}\beta_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}\ell_1^{-r}, \tag{6.7}$$
$$\|\partial_{zzz} b_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_{zzz}\beta_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\ell_1^{-r}, \tag{6.8}$$
$$\|\partial_t b_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_t\beta_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-r}, \tag{6.9}$$
$$\|\partial_{tt} b_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \partial_{tt}\beta_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-r-1} \tag{6.10}$$

and

$$\|h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|g_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)}, \tag{6.11}$$
$$\|\partial_z h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_z g_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-r}, \tag{6.12}$$
$$\|\partial_{zz} h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_{zz} g_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}\ell_1^{-r}, \tag{6.13}$$
$$\|\partial_{zzz} h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_{zzz} g_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\ell_1^{-r}, \tag{6.14}$$
$$\|\partial_t h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_t g_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-r}, \tag{6.15}$$
$$\|\partial_{tt} h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_{tt} g_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-r-1}. \tag{6.16}$$

Moreover, for any $t > 0$

$$\|b_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\beta_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}, \tag{6.17}$$
$$\|\partial_z b_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_z\beta_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}, \tag{6.18}$$
$$\|\partial_{zz} b_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_{zz}\beta_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}, \tag{6.19}$$
$$\|\partial_{zzz} b_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_{zzz}\beta_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}, \tag{6.20}$$
$$\|\partial_t b_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_t\beta_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda, \tag{6.21}$$
$$\|\partial_{tt} b_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \partial_{tt}\beta_{1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-1} \tag{6.22}$$

and

$$\|h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}, \tag{6.23}$$
$$\|\partial_z h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_z g_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}, \tag{6.24}$$
$$\|\partial_{zz} h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_{zz} g_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}, \tag{6.25}$$
$$\|\partial_{zzz} h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_{zzz} g_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}, \tag{6.26}$$
$$\|\partial_t h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_t g_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda, \tag{6.27}$$
$$\|\partial_{tt} h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_{tt} h_{\pm 1l}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-1}. \tag{6.28}$$

Proof. First, by (4.7), (4.8), (6.2), (6.4) and assumption (4.1), for $r \geq 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big[\sqrt{e(t) - a_{1\ell_1}(t,\cdot)}\Big]_r \leq& C_r \sum_{i=1}^{r} \big\|(e(t) - a_{1\ell_1}(t,\cdot))^{\frac{1}{2}-i}\big\|_0 [a_{1\ell_1}(t,\cdot))]_1^{(i-1)\frac{r}{r-1}} [a_{1\ell_1}(t,\cdot))]_r^{\frac{r-i}{r-1}} \\
\leq& C_r\sqrt{\kappa}(\mu^r\Lambda^r + \mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)}) \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)}, \\
\big[\alpha_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1})(t,\cdot)\big]_r \leq& C_r \sum_{i=1}^{r} \|(\nabla^i\alpha)_l\|_0 [\mu v_{0\ell_1}(t,\cdot))]_1^{(i-1)\frac{r}{r-1}} [\mu v_{0\ell_1}(t,\cdot))]_r^{\frac{r-i}{r-1}} \\
\leq& C_r(\mu^r\Lambda^r + \mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)}) \leq C_r\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

Similarly,

$$\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}(t,\cdot)}}\right]_r \le \frac{C_r}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)}. \tag{6.30}$$

Moreover, for $r=0,1$, it's easy to get

$$\left[\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}(t,\cdot)}\right]_r \le C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^r\Lambda^r, \quad \left[\alpha_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1})(t,\cdot)\right]_r \le C_r\mu^r\Lambda^r.$$

Recalling that

$$b_{1l} := \sqrt{\frac{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}{2}}\alpha_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1}),$$

by (6.1), we have

$$\|b_{1l}\|_r \le C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)} \quad \text{for any r} \ge 1, \quad \|b_{1l}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}.$$

By (2.4) and (6.2), for any $r \ge 1$,

$$\|c_{1\ell_1}\|_r \le C_r\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)}, \quad \|\partial_z^i c_{1\ell_1}\|_r \le C_i\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-(i-1)}\ell_1^{-r}, \ i=1,2,3 \tag{6.31}$$

and

$$\|c_{1\ell_1}\|_0 \le C_0\kappa, \quad \|\partial_z^i c_{1\ell_1}\|_0 \le C_i\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-(i-1)}, \ i=1,2,3. \tag{6.32}$$

Thus, by (4.7) on β_{1l}, (6.30), (6.31) and the same argument as above, we also have

$$\|\beta_{1l}\|_r \le C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)}, \quad \forall r \ge 1, \quad \|\beta_{1l}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}. \tag{6.33}$$

By (4.19) on $g_{\pm 1l}$, (4.20) on $h_{\pm 1l}$ and (4.1), it's easy to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|h_{\pm 1l}\|_r \le C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)}, \quad \|g_{\pm 1l}\|_r \le C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)}, \quad \forall r \ge 1,\\ &\|h_{\pm 1l}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}, \quad \|g_{\pm 1l}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof of (6.5), (6.11), (6.17) and (6.23).

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z\Big(\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}\Big) &= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\partial_z a_1)_{\ell_1}}{\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}},\\ \partial_{zz}\Big(\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}\Big) &= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial_z a_1 * \big(\varphi_{\ell_1}(t)\varphi_{\ell_1}(x)\varphi_{\ell_1}(y)(\varphi')_{\ell_{1z}}(z)\big)\ell_{1z}^{-1}}{\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{(\partial_z a_1)_{\ell_1}^2}{(\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}})^3},\\ \partial_{zzz}\Big(\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}\Big) &= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial_z a_1 * \big(\varphi_{\ell_1}(t)(\varphi)_{\ell_1}(x)\varphi_{\ell_1}(y)(\varphi'')_{\ell_{1z}}(z)\big)\ell_{1z}^{-2}}{\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}}\\ &\quad - \frac{3}{4}\frac{(\partial_z a_1)_{\ell_1}\partial_z a_1 * \big(\varphi_{\ell_1}(t)(\varphi)_{\ell_1}(x)\varphi_{\ell_1}(y)(\varphi')_{\ell_{1z}}(z)\big)\ell_{1z}^{-1}}{(\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}})^3} - \frac{3}{8}\frac{(\partial_z a_1)_{\ell_1}^3}{(\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}})^5},\end{aligned} \tag{6.34}$$

by (2.4), (6.1) and (6.4), for any integer $r \ge 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|\partial_z\Big(\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}\Big)\Big\|_r \le C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-r}, \quad \Big\|\partial_{zz}\Big(\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}\Big)\Big\|_r \le C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}\ell_1^{-r},\\ &\Big\|\partial_{zzz}\Big(\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}\Big)\Big\|_r \le C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\ell_1^{-r}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.35}$$

A similar argument gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\partial_z\Big(\alpha_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1})\Big)\Big\|_r \leq & C_r\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-r}, \quad \Big\|\partial_{zz}\Big(\alpha_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1})\Big)\Big\|_r \leq C_r\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}\ell_1^{-r},\\ \Big\|\partial_{zzz}\Big(\alpha_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1})\Big)\Big\|_r \leq & C_r\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\ell_1^{-r},\end{aligned} \tag{6.36}$$

hence, we obtain

$$\big\|\partial_z b_{1l}\big\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-r}, \quad \big\|\partial_{zz} b_{1l}\big\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}\ell_1^{-r}, \quad \big\|\partial_{zzz} b_{1l}\big\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\ell_1^{-r}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|\partial_z\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}}\Big)\Big\|_r \leq \frac{C_r}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-r}, \quad \Big\|\partial_{zz}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}}\Big)\Big\|_r \leq \frac{C_r}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}\ell_1^{-r},\\ &\Big\|\partial_{zzz}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}}\Big)\Big\|_r \leq \frac{C_r}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\ell_1^{-r}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

Finally, combining (6.31), (6.36) and (6.37), we can obtain

$$\big\|\partial_z\beta_{1l}\big\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-r}, \quad \big\|\partial_{zz}\beta_{1l}\big\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}\ell_1^{-r}, \quad \big\|\partial_{zzz}\beta_{1l}\big\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\ell_1^{-r}.$$

Thus, we obtain (6.6)-(6.8), (6.18)-(6.20). By (4.17) on $g_{\pm 1l}$, (4.20) on $h_{\pm 1l}$ and parameter assumption (4.1), it's easy to obtain (6.12)-(6.14), (6.24)-(6.26).

We let

$$\Gamma := \sqrt{\frac{e(t)-a_{1\ell_1}}{2}}$$

and observe that

$$b_{1l} = \Gamma\alpha_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1}),$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t b_{1l} =&\partial_t\Gamma\alpha_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1}) + \Gamma(\nabla\alpha)_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1})\cdot\mu(\partial_t v_0)_{\ell_1},\\ \partial_{tt} b_{1l} =&\partial_{tt}\Gamma\alpha_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1}) + 2\partial_t\Gamma(\nabla\alpha)_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1})\cdot\mu(\partial_t v_0)_{\ell_1} + \mu^2\Gamma(\partial_t v_0)_{\ell_1}^T(\nabla^2\alpha)_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1})(\partial_t v_0)_{\ell_1}\\ &+\Gamma(\nabla\alpha)_l(\mu v_{0\ell_1})\cdot\mu(\partial_t v_0)*(\partial_t\varphi)_{\ell_1}\ell_1^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

A directly calculation gives

$$\partial_t\Gamma = \frac{e'(t)-(\partial_t a_1)_{\ell_1}}{\sqrt{2(e(t)-a_{1\ell_1})}}, \quad \partial_{tt}\Gamma = \frac{e''(t)-(\partial_t a_1)*(\varphi')_{\ell_1}\ell_1^{-1}}{\sqrt{2(e(t)-a_{1\ell_1})}} + \frac{(e'(t)-(\partial_t a_1)_{\ell_1})^2}{(\sqrt{2(e(t)-a_{1\ell_1})})^3},$$

therefore, by assumption (4.1), we have

$$\|\partial_t\Gamma\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda, \quad \|\partial_{tt}\Gamma\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-1}.$$

By (6.1), (6.4) and assumption (4.1), for $r \geq 1$ we have

$$\|\partial_t\Gamma\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-r}, \quad \|\partial_{tt}\Gamma\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-r-1}.$$

Then by (6.1), we obtain that for any $r \geq 0$

$$\|\partial_t b_{1l}\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-r}, \quad \|\partial_{tt} b_{1l}\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-r-1}.$$

By a similar argument, we obtain, for any $r \geq 0$

$$\|\partial_t\beta_{1l}\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-r}, \quad \|\partial_{tt}\beta_{1l}\|_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-r-1}.$$

Thus, we obtain (6.9), (6.10), (6.21) and (6.22). From (4.17) and (4.20), it's easy to get (6.15), (6.16), (6.27) and (6.28). Then the proof of this lemma is complete. □

From the definition on $w_{1o}, w_{1c}, \chi_{1o}, \chi_{1c}$ and the above lemma, we have the following estimates:

Lemma 6.2 (Estimates on main perturbation and correction)**.**

$$\|w_{1o}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)w_{1o}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1, \quad \|\partial_z w_{1o}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}, \tag{6.38}$$

$$\|w_{1c}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)w_{1c}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda, \quad \|\partial_z w_{1c}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}, \tag{6.39}$$

$$\|\chi_{1o}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\chi_{1o}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1, \quad \|\partial_z \chi_{1o}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}, \tag{6.40}$$

$$\|\chi_{1c}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\chi_{1c}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda, \quad \|\partial_z \chi_{1c}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}. \tag{6.41}$$

Proof. First, by (4.12), we know $\|w_{1o}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t w_{1o} = &\sum_{l\in Z^3} \partial_t b_{1l} k_1 \Big(e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)\\ &- \sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{1l} i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\frac{l}{\mu}k_1\Big(e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} - e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (6.17), (6.21), parameter assumption (4.1) and notice that $b_{1l}\neq 0$ implies $|l|\le C_0\mu$, we obtain

$$\|\partial_t w_{1o}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1.$$

A similar argument gives

$$\|(\partial_x,\partial_y)w_{1o}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1, \quad \|\partial_z w_{1o}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}.$$

Then we obtain the proof of (6.38). And (6.40) follows similarly.

Next, by (4.13), (6.1), (6.5) and the assumption (4.1), we get

$$\|w_{1cl}\|_0 \le C_0\frac{\|\nabla b_{1l}\|_0}{\lambda_1} \le C_0\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda}{\lambda_1}.$$

By (4.2), we arrive at

$$\|w_{1c}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t w_{1cl}\\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -t_1\partial_{tz}b_{1l} + \partial_{ty}b_{1l}\\ s_1\partial_{tz}b_{1l} - \partial_{tx}b_{1l}\\ t_1\partial_{tx}b_{1l} - s_1\partial_{ty}b_{1l}\end{pmatrix}\Big(\frac{e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}}{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}} + \frac{e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}}{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}}\Big)\\ &\quad - \begin{pmatrix} -t_1\partial_z b_{1l} + \partial_y b_{1l}\\ s_1\partial_z b_{1l} - \partial_x b_{1l}\\ t_1\partial_x b_{1l} - s_1\partial_y b_{1l}\end{pmatrix}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\frac{l}{\mu}\Big(e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

By (6.1), (6.9), (4.1) and notice that $b_{1l}\neq 0$ implies $|l|\le C_0\mu$, we get

$$\|\partial_t w_{1c}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda.$$

Similarly

$$\|(\partial_x,\partial_y)w_{1c}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda.$$

Differentiating w_{1cl} in z, we have

$$\partial_z w_{1cl} = \begin{pmatrix} -t_1\partial_{zz}b_{1l} + \partial_{zy}b_{1l}\\ s_1\partial_{zz}b_{1l} - \partial_{zx}b_{1l}\\ t_1\partial_{zx}b_{1l} - s_1\partial_{zy}b_{1l}\end{pmatrix}\Big(\frac{e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}}{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}} + \frac{e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}}{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}}\Big).$$

Thus, by (6.6)

$$\|\partial_z w_{1c}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Collecting all these estimates, we obtain the proof of (6.39). A similar argument gives (6.41). □

By (4.12), (4.21), lemma 6.2 and constructions (4.23) of $(v_{01}, p_{01}, \theta_{01})$, we conclude that

Corollary 6.3.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v_{01}-v_0\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{12} + C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(v_{01}-v_0)\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1,\\
&\|\theta_{01}-\theta_0\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{6} + C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(\theta_{01}-\theta_0)\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1,\\
&\|p_{01}-p_0\|_0 = 0, \quad \|\partial_z(v_{01}-v_0)\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}, \quad \|\partial_z(\theta_{01}-\theta_0)\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.42}$$

6.1. **Estimate on δR_{01}.**

As in [17], we split δR_{01} into three parts, they are

(1) The oscillation part

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{div}M_1) - \mathcal{R}(\chi_1 e_3) - \mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz} w_1).$$

(2) The transport part

$$\mathcal{R}\Big\{\partial_t w_1 + \mathrm{div}\Big[\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(w_{1l}\otimes\frac{l}{\mu} + \frac{l}{\mu}\otimes w_{1l}\Big)\Big]\Big\} = \mathcal{R}\Big(\partial_t w_1 + \sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{1l}\Big).$$

(3) The error part

$$N_1 + (w_{1o}\otimes w_{1c} + w_{1c}\otimes w_{1o} + w_{1c}\otimes w_{1c}) + R_0 - R_{0\ell_1}.$$

Where we followed the notations M_1 and N_1 given in section 4.3.

In the following we will estimate each of them separately.

Lemma 6.4 (The oscillation part)**.**

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{div}M_1)\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}, \qquad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{div}M_1)\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\mu\Lambda,\\
\|\partial_z\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{div}M_1)\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.43}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|\mathcal{R}(\chi_1 e_3)\big\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1^{-1}, \qquad \big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{R}(\chi_1 e_3)\big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa},\\
\big\|\partial_z\mathcal{R}(\chi_1 e_3)\big\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\lambda_1^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|\mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz} w_1)\big\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}, \qquad \big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz} w_1)\big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1},\\
\big\|\partial_z\mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz} w_1)\big\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\lambda_1^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.45}$$

Proof. We start with the fact that $k_1\cdot(k_{1h}^{\perp},0) = 0$. Recalling the notation of M_1 in (4.22), we have

$$\mathrm{div}M_1 = M_{11} + M_{12},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{11} =& \sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(e^{2i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + e^{-2i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)k_1\otimes k_1\nabla b_{1l}^2,\\
M_{12} =& \sum_{l,l'\in Z^3, l\neq l'}\mathrm{div}(w_{1ol}\otimes w_{1ol'}).
\end{aligned}$$

By (6.5), (6.17), (3.4) on $\mathcal{R}$ with $m = 1 + \left[\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right]$ and (4.1), we arrive at

$$\|\mathcal{R}(M_{11})\|_0 \le C_m\sum_{j=0}^{7}\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\lambda_1^{-(i+1)}\Big\|\sum_{[l]=j}\nabla b_{1l}^2(t,\cdot)\Big\|_i + \lambda_1^{-m}\Big[\sum_{[l]=j}\nabla b_{1l}^2(t,\cdot)\Big]_m\Big) \le C_m\kappa\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, since $k_1\cdot(k_{1h}^\perp,0)=0$ and by the notation (4.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}M_{12}=&\sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\sum_{1\le|l-l'|<2}k_1\otimes k_1\nabla(b_{1l}b_{1l'})\Big(e^{i\lambda_1(2^j+2^{[l']})(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot(x,y,z)-ig_{1,l,l'}(t)}\\&+e^{i\lambda_1(2^j-2^{[l']})(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot(x,y,z)-i\overline{g}_{1,l,l'}(t)}+e^{i\lambda_1(2^{[l']}-2^j)(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot(x,y,z)+i\overline{g}_{1,l,l'}(t)}\\&+e^{-i\lambda_1(2^j+2^{[l']})(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot(x,y,z)+ig_{1,l,l'}(t)}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_{1,l,l'}(t)=\lambda_1\Big(2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\frac{l}{\mu}t+2^{[l']}(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\frac{l'}{\mu}t\Big),\quad \overline{g}_{1,l,l'}(t)=\lambda_1\Big(2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\frac{l}{\mu}t-2^{[l']}(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\frac{l'}{\mu}t\Big).$$

As in the estimate of M_{11}, by (6.5), (6.17), (3.4) on $\mathcal{R}$ with $m=1+\Big[\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\Big]$ and (4.1), and by noticing $b_{1p}b_{1q}=0$ if $|p-q|\ge2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathcal{R}(M_{12})\|_0\\\le&C_m\sum_{j=0}^{7}\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\lambda_1^{-(i+1)}\Big\|\sum_{[l]=j}\sum_{1\le|l-l'|<2}\nabla(b_{1l}b_{1l'})\Big\|_i+\lambda_1^{-m}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\lambda_1^{-(i+1)}\Big[\sum_{[l]=j}\sum_{1\le|l-l'|<2}\nabla(b_{1l}b_{1l'})\Big]_m\Big)\\\le&C_m\kappa\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain the first estimate in (6.43) by summing up the two parts.

Next, differentiating M_{11} in time,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_tM_{11}=&\sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(e^{2i\lambda_12^j(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+e^{-2i\lambda_12^j(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)k_1\otimes k_1\nabla\partial_t(b_{1l}^2)\\&-\sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}2i\lambda_12^j(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\frac{l}{\mu}\Big(e^{2i\lambda_12^j(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\\&-e^{-2i\lambda_12^j(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)k_1\otimes k_1\nabla(b_{1l}^2).\end{aligned}$$

Noticing $|l|\le C_0\mu$ and applying the same argument as above, we can obtain

$$\|\partial_t\mathcal{R}(M_{11})\|_0\le C_m\kappa\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}(\ell_1^{-1}+\mu\Lambda+\lambda_1)\le C_m\kappa\mu\Lambda.$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\|\partial_t\mathcal{R}(M_{12})\|_0\le C_m\kappa\mu\Lambda.$$

Differentiating in (x,y) on M_{11},M_{12}, similarly we have

$$\|(\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{R}(M_{11})\|_0\le C_m\kappa\mu\Lambda,\quad \|(\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{R}(M_{12})\|_0\le C_m\kappa\mu\Lambda.$$

Finally we obtain

$$\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{div}M_1)\|_0\le C_m\kappa\mu_1\Lambda.$$

This is the second estimate in (6.43).

A straightforward computation gives

$$\partial_zM_{11}=\sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(e^{2i\lambda_12^j(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+e^{-2i\lambda_12^j(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)k_1\otimes k_1(\nabla\partial_z)b_{1l}^2.$$

By (6.6), (3.4) on $\mathcal{R}$ with $m=1+\left[\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right]$ and (4.1), we arrive at

$$\|\mathcal{R}(\partial_z M_{11})\|_0 \le C_m\kappa\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}(\partial_z M_{12})\|_0 \le C_m\kappa\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Combining the two parts, we arrive at

$$\|\partial_z\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{div}M_1)\|_0 \le C_m\kappa\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Then the proof of (6.43) is complete.

Since

$$\chi_1=\sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(h_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+h_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big),$$

then by (6.11), (6.23), (3.4) on $\mathcal{R}$ with $m=1+\left[\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right]$ and (4.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\mathcal{R}(\chi_1 e_3)\big\|_0 \le& C_m\sum_{j=0}^{7}\Big[\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\lambda_1^{-(i+1)}\Big(\Big\|\sum_{[l]=j}h_{1l}(t,\cdot)\Big\|_i+\Big\|\sum_{[l]=j}h_{-1l}(t,\cdot)\Big\|_i\Big)\\&+\lambda_1^{-m}\Big[\sum_{[l]=j}h_{1l}(t,\cdot)+\sum_{[l]=j}h_{-1l}(t,\cdot)\Big]_m\Big]\le C_m\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating in space and time on χ_1, we have

$$\big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{R}(\chi_1 e_3)\big\|_0\le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa},\quad \big\|\partial_z\mathcal{R}(\chi_1 e_3)\big\|_0\le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Then we complete the proof of (6.44).

Finally, by (4.18), we have

$$\partial_{zz}w_1=\sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(\partial_{zz}g_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+\partial_{zz}g_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big),$$

then by (6.13), (6.25), (3.4) on $\mathcal{R}$ with $m=1+\left[\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right]$ and (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz}w_1)\|_0 \le& C_m\sum_{j=0}^{7}\Big[\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\lambda_1^{-(i+1)}\Big(\Big\|\sum_{[l]=j}\partial_{zz}g_{1l}(t,\cdot)\Big\|_i+\Big\|\sum_{[l]=j}\partial_{zz}g_{-1l}(t,\cdot)\Big\|_i\Big)\\&+\lambda_1^{-m}\Big[\sum_{[l]=j}\partial_{zz}g_{1l}(t,\cdot)+\sum_{[l]=j}\partial_{zz}g_{-1l}(t,\cdot)\Big]_m\Big]\le C_m\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz}w_1)\|_0\le C_m\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}.$$

And

$$\partial_{zzz}w_1=\sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(\partial_{zzz}g_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^j k_1^{\perp}\cdot\left((y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+\partial_{zzz}g_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^j k_1^{\perp}\cdot\left((y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big),$$

By (6.14), (6.26) and a similar argument as above, we obtain

$$\|\partial_z\mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz}w_1)\|_0\le C_m\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Then we complete the proof of (6.45). □

Lemma 6.5 (The transportation part)**.**

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|\mathcal{R}\Big(\partial_t w_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1},\\
&\Big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{R}\Big(\partial_t w_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda,\\
&\Big\|\partial_z\mathcal{R}\Big(\partial_t w_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.46}$$

Proof. Recall that

$$w_{1l}=g_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+g_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}$$

and

$$w_1=\sum_{l\in Z^3}w_{1l}.$$

From the identity

$$\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\Big)e^{\pm i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}=0,$$

we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t w_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{1l}\\
=&\sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\Big)g_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{j}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\Big)g_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{j}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{6.47}$$

By (6.11) and (3.4) on $\mathcal{R}$ with $m=1+\Big[\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\Big]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|\mathcal{R}\Big(\partial_t w_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\\
\le&C_m\sum_{j=0}^{7}\Big\{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\lambda_1^{-(i+1)}\Big(\Big\|\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu_1}\cdot\nabla\Big)g_{1l}(t,\cdot)\Big\|_i+\Big\|\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu_1}\cdot\nabla\Big)g_{-1l}(t,\cdot)\Big\|_i\Big)\\
&+\lambda_1^{-m}\Big(\Big[\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu_1}\cdot\nabla\Big)g_{1l}(t,\cdot)\Big]_m+\Big[\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu_1}\cdot\nabla\Big)g_{-1l}(t,\cdot)\Big]_m\Big)\Big\}\le C_m\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating in (x,y) and t on (6.48) and similarly we have

$$\Big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{R}\Big(\partial_t w_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_m\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_z\Big(\partial_t w_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{1l}\Big)\\
=&\sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\left(\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\Big)\partial_z g_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{j}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\Big)\partial_z g_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{j}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

then by (6.12), the above argument gives

$$\Big\|\partial_z\mathcal{R}\Big(\partial_t w_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_m\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Then the proof of the lemma is complete. □

Lemma 6.6 (Estimates on error part I)**.**

$$\begin{aligned}&\|N_1\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\Big(\mu^{-1}+\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}\Big),\quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)N_1\|_0\le C_0\lambda_1\sqrt{\kappa}\Big(\mu^{-1}+\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}\Big),\\&\|\partial_z N_1\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\bar{\Lambda}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.48}$$

Proof. From the definition (4.22) on N_1, we decompose N_1 into two parts

$$N_1=N_{11}+N_{12},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}N_{11}&=\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big[w_{1l}\otimes\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)+\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\otimes w_{1l}\Big],\\N_{12}&=\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big[w_{1l}\otimes\big(v_0-v_{0\ell_1}\big)+\big(v_0-v_{0\ell_1}\big)\otimes w_{1l}\Big].\end{aligned}$$

For the term N_{11}, by (4.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l\in Z^3}w_{1l}\otimes\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)=\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(&g_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\\&+g_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)\otimes\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{6.49}$$

Obviously, by (4.17), we know that $g_{\pm1l}\neq0$ implies $|\mu v_{0\ell_1}-l|\le1$. By (4.2) and (6.11), it's easy to get

$$\Big\|\sum_{l\in Z^3}w_{1l}\otimes\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\Big\|\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\otimes w_{1l}\Big\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}.$$

Hence we have

$$\|N_{11}\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}.$$

Similarly, by (6.3), we have

$$\|N_{12}\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1x}).$$

We obtain the first estimate of this lemma by summing up the two parts.

Differentiating (6.49) in time,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t\Big(\sum_{l\in Z^3} w_{1l}\otimes\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\Big)\\
&=\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(\partial_t g_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+\partial_t g_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)\otimes\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\\
&\quad+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(g_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+g_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)\otimes(\partial_t v_0)_{\ell_1}\\
&\quad-\sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j} i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\frac{l}{\mu}\Big(g_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}-g_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)\otimes\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $|l|\le C_0\mu$. By (4.1), a similar argument as before, we get

$$\Big\|\partial_t\Big(\sum_{l\in Z^3} w_{1l}\otimes\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1\mu^{-1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\Big\|\partial_t\Big(\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\otimes w_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1\mu^{-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\big\|\partial_t N_{11}\big\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1\mu^{-1}.$$

A similar argument as before, we also obtain

$$\big\|(\partial_x,\partial_y)N_{11}\big\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1\mu^{-1},$$

and

$$\big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)N_{12}\big\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1x})\lambda_1.$$

Collecting all these estimates, we arrive at the second estimate of this lemma.

Differentiating (6.49) in z:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_z\Big(\sum_{l\in Z^3} w_{1l}\otimes\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\Big)\\
&=\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(\partial_z g_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+\partial_z g_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)\otimes\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\\
&\quad+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(g_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+g_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)\otimes(\partial_z v_0)_{\ell_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.2) and (6.24), we have

$$\Big\|\partial_z\Big(\sum_{l\in Z^3} w_{1l}\otimes\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\bar{\Lambda}.$$

Similarly

$$\Big\|\partial_z\Big(\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\Big)\otimes w_{1l}\Big\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\bar{\Lambda}.$$

Hence

$$\big\|\partial_z N_{11}\big\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\bar{\Lambda}.$$

By (2.4), (4.2), (6.3), lemma 6.2 and (4.1), a straightforward computation gives

$$\|\partial_z N_{12}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\bar{\Lambda}.$$

Then we arrive at

$$\|\partial_z N_1\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\bar{\Lambda}.$$

Therefor we complete our proof of this lemma. □

Lemma 6.7 (Estimates on error part II)**.**

$$\begin{aligned}\|w_{1o}\otimes w_{1c}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1o}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1c}\|_0 \le& C_0\kappa\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1},\\ \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(w_{1o}\otimes w_{1c}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1o}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1c})\|_0 \le& C_0\kappa\mu\Lambda,\\ \|\partial_z(w_{1o}\otimes w_{1c}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1o}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1c})\|_0 \le& C_0\kappa\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.2, it's easy to obtain

$$\|w_{1o}\otimes w_{1c}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1o}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1c}\|_0 \le C_0(\|w_{1o}\|_0\|w_{1c}\|_0+\|w_{1c}\|_0^2) \le C_0\kappa\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}$$

and

$$\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(w_{1o}\otimes w_{1c}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1o}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1c})\|_0 \le C_0\kappa\mu\Lambda.$$

Moreover, by lemma 6.2 and (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial_z(w_{1o}\otimes w_{1c}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1o}+w_{1c}\otimes w_{1c})\|_0\\ \le& C_0\Big(\|\partial_z w_{1o}\|_0\|w_{1c}\|_0+\|\partial_z w_{1c}\|w_{1o}\|_0\Big) \le C_0\kappa\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the proof of this lemma is complete. □

Lemma 6.8 (Estimates on error part III)**.**

$$\|R_{0\ell_1}-R_0\|_0 \le C_0(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}),\quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(R_{0\ell_1}-R_0)\|_0 \le C_0\Lambda,\quad \|\partial_z(R_{0\ell_1}-R_0)\|_0 \le C_0\bar{\Lambda}.$$

Proof. By (6.3), it's easy to get the first estimate. The remaining two estimates are deduced from (2.4). □

Finally, by Lemma 6.4, Lemma 6.5, Lemma 6.6, Lemma 6.7 and Lemma 6.8, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\delta R_{01}\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\Big(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_1^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}(\mu\Lambda+\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1})\Big),\\ \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\delta R_{01}\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\lambda_1\Big(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_1^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}(\mu\Lambda+\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1})\Big),\\ \|\partial_z\delta R_{01}\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[\bar{\Lambda}+\lambda_1^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}\Big(\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}+\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\Big)\Big].\end{aligned}\tag{6.50}$$

6.2. **Estimates on δf_{01}.**

Recalling (4.55), as before, we split δf_{01} into three parts:
(1) the oscillation part

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{div}K_1)+\mathcal{G}(w_1\cdot\nabla\theta_{0\ell_1})-\mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz}\chi_1),$$

(2) the transportation part

$$\mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\chi_{1l}\Big),$$

(3) the error part

$$w_{1c}\chi_1+w_{1o}\chi_{1c}+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{1l}+\sum_{l\in Z^3}(v_0-v_{0\ell_1})\chi_{1l}+f_0-f_{0\ell_1}+w_1(\theta_0-\theta_{0\ell_1}).$$

Lemma 6.9 (The Oscillation Part)**.**

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{div}K_1)\|_0 \leq& C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{div}K_1)\|_0 \leq C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\mu\Lambda,\\
\|\partial_z\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{div}K_1)\|_0 \leq& C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}, \qquad (6.51)\\
\|\mathcal{G}(w_1\cdot\nabla\theta_{0\ell_1})\|_0 \leq& C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{G}(w_1\cdot\nabla\theta_{0\ell_1})\|_0 \leq C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\Lambda,\\
\|\partial_z\mathcal{G}(w_1\cdot\nabla\theta_{0\ell_1})\|_0 \leq& C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\Lambda\ell_{1z}^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}, \qquad (6.52)\\
\|\mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz}\chi_1)\|_0 \leq& C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz}\chi_1)\|_0 \leq C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1},\\
\|\partial_z\mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz}\chi_1)\|_0 \leq& C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\lambda_1^{-1}. \qquad (6.53)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the definition (4.22) on K_1, we may write

$$\mathrm{div}K_1 = K_{11} + K_{12},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 :=& \sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\nabla(\beta_{1l}b_{1l})\cdot k_1\Big(e^{2i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + e^{-2i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big),\\
K_2 :=& \sum_{l,l'\in Z^3, l\neq l'}\mathrm{div}(w_{1ol}\chi_{1ol'}).
\end{aligned}$$

By (6.5), (6.17), lemma 3.2 on $\mathcal{G}$ with $m = 1+\left[\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right]$ and (4.1), we have

$$\|\mathcal{G}(K_{11})\|_0 \leq C_m\sum_{j=0}^{7}\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\lambda_1^{-(i+1)}\Big\|\sum_{[l]=j}\nabla(\beta_{1l}b_{1l})\Big\|_i + \lambda_1^{-m}\Big[\sum_{[l]=j}\nabla(\beta_{1l}b_{1l})\Big]_m\Big) \leq C_m\kappa\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Since $k_1\cdot(k_{1h}^{\perp},0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{12} =& \sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\sum_{1\leq|l-l'|<2}k_1\cdot\nabla(b_{1l}\beta_{1l'})\Big(e^{i\lambda_1(2^j+2^{[l']})(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot(x,y,z)-ig_{1,l,l'}(t)}\\
&+ e^{i\lambda_1(2^j-2^{[l']})(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot(x,y,z)-i\overline{g}_{1,l,l'}(t)} + e^{-i\lambda_1(2^{[l']}-2^j)(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot(x,y,z)+i\overline{g}_{1,l,l'}(t)}\\
&+ e^{-i\lambda_1(2^j+2^{[l']})(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot(x,y,z)+ig_{1,l,l'}(t)}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

By (6.5), (6.17), lemma 3.2 on $\mathcal{G}$ with $m = 1+\left[\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right]$, (4.1) and $b_{1p}b_{1q} = 0$ if $|p-q|\geq 2$, as before, we get

$$\|\mathcal{G}(K_{12})\|_0 \leq C_m\kappa\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Then we arrive at

$$\|\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{div}K_1)\|_0 \leq C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Thus, we obtain the first estimate of (6.51).

Directly differentiating in (x,y) and t on K_{11}, K_{12} and following the argument of lemma 6.4, we get

$$\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{div}K_1))\|_0 \leq C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\mu\Lambda,$$

which is the second estimate in (6.51).

We compute

$$\partial_z K_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\nabla\partial_z(\beta_{1l}b_{1l})\cdot k_1\Big(e^{2i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + e^{-2i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big),$$

by (6.5), (6.6), (6.17), (6.18), lemma 3.2 on $\mathcal{G}$ with $m = 1 + \left[\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right]$ and (4.1), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_z \mathcal{G}(K_{11})\|_0 \leq & C_m \sum_{j=0}^{7} \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \lambda_1^{-(i+1)} \big\| \sum_{[l]=j} \nabla \partial_z (\beta_{1l} b_{1l}) \big\|_i + \lambda_1^{-m} \big[\sum_{[l]=j} \nabla \partial_z (\beta_{1l} b_{1l}) \big]_m \Big) \\ \leq & C_m \kappa \mu \bar{\Lambda} \ell_1^{-1} \lambda_1^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

A similar argument gives

$$\|\partial_z \mathcal{G}(K_{12})\|_0 \leq C_m \kappa \mu \bar{\Lambda} \ell_1^{-1} \lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Then we obtain the third estimate of (6.51).

A straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}w_1 \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1} = & \sum_{j=0}^{7} \sum_{[l]=j} \Big(g_{1l} \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1} e^{i\lambda_1 2^j (k_{1h}^{\perp}, 0) \cdot \left((x,y,z) - \frac{l}{\mu} t \right)} \\ & + g_{-1l} \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1} e^{-i\lambda_1 2^j (k_{1h}^{\perp}, 0) \cdot \left((x,y,z) - \frac{l}{\mu} t \right)} \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{6.54}$$

Again, by (6.2), (6.11), (6.23), lemma 3.2 on $\mathcal{G}$ with $m = 1 + \left[\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right]$ and (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{G}(w_1 \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1})\|_0 \leq & C_m \sum_{j=0}^{7} \Big\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \lambda_1^{-(1+i)} \Big(\Big\| \sum_{[l]=j} g_{1l} \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1}(t,\cdot) \Big\|_i + \Big\| \sum_{[l]=j} g_{-1l} \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1}(t,\cdot) \Big\|_i \Big) \\ & + \lambda_1^{-m} \Big(\Big[\sum_{[l]=j} g_{1l} \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1}(t,\cdot) \Big]_m + \Big[\sum_{[l]=j} g_{-1l} \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1}(t,\cdot) \Big]_m \Big) \Big\} \leq C_m \sqrt{\kappa} \Lambda \lambda_1^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating in (x, y) and t on (6.54), similarly,

$$\|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y) \mathcal{G}(w_1 \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1})\|_0 \leq C_m \sqrt{\kappa} \Lambda.$$

Differentiating in z on (6.54), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z (w_1 \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1}) = & \sum_{j=0}^{7} \sum_{[l]=j} \Big(\partial_z (g_{1l} \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1}) e^{i\lambda_1 2^j (k_{1h}^{\perp}, 0) \cdot \left((x,y,z) - \frac{l}{\mu} t \right)} \\ & + \partial_z (g_{-1l} \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1}) e^{-i\lambda_1 2^j (k_{1h}^{\perp}, 0) \cdot \left((x,y,z) - \frac{l}{\mu} t \right)} \Big),\end{aligned}$$

By (6.2), (6.12) and (6.24), following a similar argument as before, we obtain

$$\|\partial_z \mathcal{G}(w_1 \cdot \nabla \theta_{0\ell_1})\|_0 \leq C_m \sqrt{\kappa} \Lambda \ell_{1z}^{-1} \lambda_1^{-1},$$

which gives the proof of (6.52).

Finally calculating

$$\partial_{zz} \chi_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{7} \sum_{[l]=j} \Big(\partial_{zz} h_{1l} e^{i\lambda_1 2^j (k_{1h}^{\perp}, 0) \cdot \left((x,y,z) - \frac{l}{\mu} t \right)} + \partial_{zz} h_{-1l} e^{-i\lambda_1 2^j (k_{1h}^{\perp}, 0) \cdot \left((x,y,z) - \frac{l}{\mu} t \right)} \Big), \tag{6.55}$$

by (6.13), (6.25), lemma 3.2 on $\mathcal{G}$ with $m = 1 + \left[\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right]$ and (4.1) we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz} \chi_1)\|_0 \leq C_m \sqrt{\kappa} \mu \bar{\Lambda} \ell_{1z}^{-1} \lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Differentiating (6.55) in space and time, a similar argument also gives

$$\|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y) \mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz} \chi_1)\|_0 \leq C_m \sqrt{\kappa} \mu \Lambda \ell_1^{-1}, \quad \|\partial_z \mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz} \chi_1)\|_0 \leq C_m \sqrt{\kappa} \mu \bar{\Lambda} \ell_{1z}^{-2} \lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Then the proof of (6.53) is complete. □

Lemma 6.10 (The transportation Part)**.**

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|\mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\chi_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1},\\
&\Big\|(\partial_t,\nabla)\mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\chi_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda,\\
&\Big\|\partial_z\mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu_1}\cdot\nabla\chi_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.56}$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t\chi_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\chi_{1l}\\
=&\sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\Big)h_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\Big)h_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{6.57}$$

By (6.11), (6.15), (6.27), lemma 3.2 on $\mathcal{G}$ with $m=1+\left[\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right]$ and (4.1), as in the proof lemma 6.5, we have

$$\Big\|\mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\chi_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_m\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

Differentiating in (x,y) and t , we can deduce

$$\Big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu_1}\cdot\nabla\chi_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_m\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda.$$

Differentiating (6.57) in z

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_z\Big(\partial_t\chi_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\chi_{1l}\Big)\\
=&\sum_{j=0}^{7}\sum_{[l]=j}\Big(\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\Big)\partial_z h_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+\Big(\partial_t+\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\Big)\partial_z h_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^j(k_{1h}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

noticing $|l|\le C_0\mu$ and by (6.12) , we obtain

$$\Big\|\partial_z\mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_1+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu_1}\cdot\nabla\chi_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1}.$$

We obtain the proof this lemma. □

Lemma 6.11 (The error Part)**.**

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|w_{1c}\chi_1\|_0 \le C_0\kappa\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(w_{1c}\chi_1)\|_0 \le C_0\kappa\mu\Lambda, \quad \|\partial_z(w_{1c}\chi_1)\|_0 \le C_0\kappa\mu\bar\Lambda\ell_1^{-1}\lambda_1^{-1},\\
&\Big\|\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{1l}+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\big(v_0-v_{0\ell_1}\big)\chi_{1l}+f_0-f_{0\ell_1}+w_1(\theta_0-\theta_{0\ell_1})\Big\|_0\\
\le& C_0(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar\Lambda\ell_{1z}+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}),\\
&\Big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\Big\{\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{1l}+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\big(v_0-v_{0\ell_1}\big)\chi_{1l}+f_0-f_{0\ell_1}+w_1(\theta_0-\theta_{0\ell_1})\Big\}\Big\|_0\\
&\le C_0(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar\Lambda\ell_{1z}+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1})\lambda_1,\\
&\Big\|\partial_z\Big\{\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{1l}+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\big(v_0-v_{0\ell_1}\big)\chi_{1l}+f_0-f_{0\ell_1}+w_1(\theta_0-\theta_{0\ell_1})\Big\}\Big\|_0 \le C_0\bar\Lambda. \qquad (6.58)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, by Lemma 6.2, it's easy to get

$$\|w_{1c}\chi_1\|_0 \le C_0\kappa\mu\Lambda\lambda_1^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)w_{1c}\chi_1\|_0 \le C_0\kappa\mu\Lambda, \quad \|\partial_z(w_{1c}\chi_1)\|_0 \le C_0\kappa\mu\bar\Lambda(\mu\Lambda+\ell_1^{-1})\lambda_1^{-1},$$

which is the first three estimates in the lemma.

We compute

$$\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{1l}=\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\Big(h_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+h_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big). \qquad (6.59)$$

Since $h_{\pm1l}(x,t)\neq 0$ implies $|\mu v_{0\ell_1}-l|\le 1$, therefore,

$$\Big\|\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{1l}\Big\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\Big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{1l}\Big\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1\mu^{-1}.$$

By inequality (6.3), we obtain directly

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\|\sum_{l\in Z^3}\big(v_0-v_{0\ell_1}\big)\chi_{1l}+f_0-f_{0\ell_1}+w_1(\theta_0-\theta_{0\ell_1})\Big\|_0 \le& C_0(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar\Lambda\ell_{1z}),\\
\Big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\Big[\sum_{l\in Z^3}\big(v_0-v_{0\ell_1}\big)\chi_{1l}+f_0-f_{0\ell_1}+w_1(\theta_0-\theta_{0\ell_1})\Big]\Big\|_0 \le& C_0(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar\Lambda\ell_{1z})\lambda_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting all these estimates, we obtain the fourth and fifth estimates.

Differentiating in z on (6.59)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_z\Big(\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{1l}\Big)\\
=&\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\Big(\partial_z h_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+\partial_z h_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)\\
&+\sum_{l\in Z^3}(\partial_z v_0)_{\ell_1}\Big(h_{1l}e^{i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+h_{-1l}e^{-i\lambda_1 2^{[l]}(k_{1h}^\perp,0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

by (4.2), (6.23), (6.24) and (6.3), we obtain

$$\Big\|\partial_z\Big(\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0\ell_1}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\bar{\Lambda}.$$

A similar argument gives

$$\Big\|\partial_z\Big(\sum_{l\in Z^3}\big(v_0-v_{0\ell_1}\big)\chi_{1l}\Big)\Big\|_0\leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\bar{\Lambda}.$$

From lemma 6.2, inequality (6.3) and (4.1), it's easy to obtain

$$\Big\|\partial_z\Big(f_0-f_{0\ell_1}+w_1(\theta_0-\theta_{0\ell_1})\Big)\Big\|_0\leq C_0\bar{\Lambda}.$$

Collecting all these estimates, we obtain the proof of the lemma. □

Finally, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\delta f_{01}\|_0\leq& C_0(\varepsilon)\Big(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_1^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}(\mu\Lambda+\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1})\Big),\\
\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\delta f_{01}\|_0\leq& C_0(\varepsilon)\lambda_1\Big(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_1^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}(\mu\Lambda+\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1})\Big),\\
\|\partial_z\delta f_{01}\|_0\leq& C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[\bar{\Lambda}+\lambda_1^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}\Big(\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}+\Lambda\ell_{1z}^{-1}+\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\Big)\Big].
\end{aligned}\tag{6.60}$$

In conclusion, combining Corollary 6.3, (6.50) and (6.60), we have $(v_{01},p_{01},\theta_{01},R_{01},f_{01})\in C_c^\infty((a-\kappa,b+\kappa)\times T^3)$, they solve system (2.1) and satisfy

$$R_{01}=-\sum_{i=2}^{6}(e(t)-a_{i\ell_1})k_i\otimes k_i+\delta R_{01},\quad f_{01}=-\sum_{i=2}^{3}c_{i\ell_1}k_i+\delta f_{01}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v_{01}-v_0\|_0\leq\frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{12}+C_0\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda}{\lambda_1},\quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(v_{01}-v_0)\|_0\leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1,\\
&\|\partial_z(v_{01}-v_0)\|_0\leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda},\quad \|p_{01}-p_0\|_0=0,\quad \|\partial_z(\theta_{01}-\theta_0)\|_0\leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda},\\
&\|\theta_{01}-\theta_0\|_0\leq\frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{6}+C_0\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda}{\lambda_1},\quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(\theta_{01}-\theta_0)\|_0\leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_1,\\
&\|\delta R_{01}\|_0\leq C_0(\varepsilon)\Big(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_1^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}(\mu\Lambda+\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1})\Big),\\
&\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\delta R_{01}\|_0\leq C_0(\varepsilon)\lambda_1\Big(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_1^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}(\mu\Lambda+\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1})\Big),\\
&\|\partial_z\delta R_{01}\|_0\leq C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[\bar{\Lambda}+\lambda_1^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}\Big(\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}+\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\Big)\Big],\\
&\|\delta f_{01}\|_0\leq C_0(\varepsilon)\Big(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_1^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}(\mu\Lambda+\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1})\Big),\\
&\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\delta f_{01}\|_0\leq C_0(\varepsilon)\lambda_1\Big(\Lambda\ell_1+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_1^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}(\mu\Lambda+\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1})\Big),\\
&\|\partial_z\delta f_{01}\|_0\leq C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[\bar{\Lambda}+\lambda_1^{-1}\sqrt{\kappa}\Big(\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-1}+\Lambda\ell_{1z}^{-1}+\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\Big)\Big].
\end{aligned}\tag{6.61}$$

Thus we complete the first step.

7. Constructions of $v_{0n},p_{0n},\theta_{0n},R_{0n},f_{0n},\ 2\leq n\leq 6$

In this section, we assume $2\leq n\leq 6$ and we will construct $(v_{0n},p_{0n},\theta_{0n},R_{0n},f_{0n})$ by inductions. Suppose that for $1\leq m<n\leq 6$, we have constructed

$$(v_{0m},\ p_{0m},\ \theta_{0m},\ R_{0m},\ f_{0m})\in C^\infty((a-\kappa,b+\kappa)\times T^3)$$

and they solve the system (2.1). Furthermore, we have

$$R_{0m}=-\sum_{i=m+1}^{6}(e(t)-a_{i\ell_1})k_i\otimes k_i+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\delta R_{0i},\quad f_{0m}=\sum_{i=m+1}^{3}c_{i\ell_1}k_i+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\delta f_{0i}\tag{7.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v_{0m}-v_{0(m-1)}\|_0\le\frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{12}+C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_m},\quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(v_{0m}-v_{0(m-1)})\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_m,\\
&\|\partial_z(v_{0m}-v_{0(m-1)})\|_0\le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^m\bar{\Lambda},\quad \|\partial_z(\theta_{0m}-\theta_{0(m-1)})\|_0\le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^m\bar{\Lambda},\\
&\|\theta_{0m}-\theta_{0(m-1)}\|_0\le\frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{6}+C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{m-1}}{\lambda_m},\quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(\theta_{0m}-\theta_{0(m-1)})\|_0\le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_m,\\
&\|p_{0m}-p_{0(m-1)}\|_0=0,\\
&\|\delta R_{0m}\|_0\le C(\varepsilon)\Big[\kappa\lambda_{m-1}\ell_m+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{m-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^m\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{mz}^{-1}\Big)\lambda_m^{-1}\Big],\\
&\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\delta R_{0m}\|_0\le C(\varepsilon)\lambda_m\Big[\kappa\lambda_{m-1}\ell_m+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{m-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^m\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{mz}^{-1}\Big)\lambda_m^{-1}\Big],\\
&\|\partial_z\delta R_{0m}\|_0\le C(\varepsilon)\Big[(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^m\bar{\Lambda}\Big(\mu^{-1}+\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{m-1}\ell_m\Big)+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^m\bar{\Lambda}\Big(\ell_m^{-1}+\ell_{mz}^{-2}\Big)\lambda_m^{-1}\Big],\\
&\|\delta f_{0m}\|_0\le C(\varepsilon)\Big[\kappa\lambda_{m-1}\ell_m+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{m-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^m\Lambda\ell_{mz}^{-1}\Big)\lambda_m^{-1}\Big],\\
&\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\delta f_{0m}\|_0\le C(\varepsilon)\lambda_m\Big[\kappa\lambda_{m-1}\ell_m+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{m-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^m\Lambda\ell_{mz}^{-1}\Big)\lambda_m^{-1}\Big],\\
&\|\partial_z\delta f_{0m}\|_0\le C(\varepsilon)\Big[(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^m\bar{\Lambda}\Big(\mu^{-1}+\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{m-1}\ell_m\Big)+\Big((\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^m\bar{\Lambda}(\ell_{mz}^{-2}+\ell_m^{-1})+\kappa\lambda_{m-1}\ell_{mz}^{-1}\Big)\lambda_m^{-1}\Big],
\end{aligned}\tag{7.2}$$

where $(v_{00},p_{00},\ \theta_{00}):=(v_0,\ p_0,\ \theta_0)$, $\lambda_0:=\Lambda\kappa^{-1}+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}\kappa^{-1}\ell_1^{-1}$ and parameters ℓ_m,ℓ_{mz} and λ_m will be chosen such that

$$\ell_1^{-1}\ge\mu\Lambda,\quad \ell_i^{-1}\ge\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{i-1},i\ge2,\quad \lambda_m\ge\ell_m^{-(1+\varepsilon)},\quad \ell_m^{-1}>\ell_{mz}^{-1}\ge\mu(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{m-1}\bar{\Lambda},\quad \lambda_m,\frac{\lambda_m}{\mu}\in\mathrm{N}.\tag{7.3}$$

Next, we construct n-th step by induction.

7.1. The n-th perturbations w_n and χ_n.

In this and subsequent part, we always assume that

$$\ell_n^{-1}\ge\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1},\quad \lambda_n\ge\ell_n^{-(1+\varepsilon)},\quad \ell_n^{-1}>\ell_{nz}^{-1}\ge\mu(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda},\quad \lambda_n,\frac{\lambda_n}{\mu}\in\mathrm{N}.\tag{7.4}$$

7.1.1. *Construction of n-th velocity perturbation.* For $2\le n\le6$ and any $l\in Z^3$, we denote b_{nl} by

$$b_{nl}:=\sqrt{\frac{e(t)-a_{n\ell_1}}{2}}\alpha_l(\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\tag{7.5}$$

and main l-perturbation w_{nol} by

$$w_{nol}:=b_{nl}k_n\Big(e^{i\lambda_n2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}+e^{-i\lambda_n2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big).$$

Here $v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}=v_{0(n-1)}*(\varphi_{\ell_n}(t)\varphi_{\ell_n}(x)\varphi_{\ell_n}(y)\varphi_{\ell_{nz}}(z))$. Then we set the n-th main perturbation

$$w_{no}:=\sum_{l\in Z^3}w_{nol}.$$

Same as in the first step, the l-correction is denoted by w_{ncl} as

$$w_{ncl} := \begin{pmatrix} -t_n\partial_z b_{nl} + \partial_y b_{nl} \\ s_n\partial_z b_{nl} - \partial_x b_{nl} \\ t_n\partial_x b_{nl} - s_n\partial_y b_{nl} \end{pmatrix} \left(\frac{e^{i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}}{i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}} + \frac{e^{-i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}}{-i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}} \right) \tag{7.6}$$

and the n-th correction is denoted by

$$w_{nc} := \sum_{l\in Z^3} w_{ncl},$$

where $k_n = (k_{n1}, k_{n2}, k_{n3})$ and $(s_n, t_n) \in R^2$ such that $s_n k_{n1} + t_n k_{n2} = -k_{n3}$.

A straightforward computation gives

$$w_{nol} + w_{ncl} = \mathrm{curl}\Big(b_{nl}\vec{a}_n\Big(\frac{e^{i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}}{i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}} + \frac{e^{-i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}}{-i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}}\Big)\Big),$$

where $\vec{a}_n = (s_n, t_n, 1)$.

Finally we set n-th perturbation

$$w_n := w_{no} + w_{nc}.$$

It's obvious that $\mathrm{div} w_n = 0$. Moreover, they are all real vector-valued functions and

$$\|w_{no}\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{12}. \tag{7.7}$$

We set

$$g_{nl} := b_{nl}k_n + \frac{1}{i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}} \begin{pmatrix} -t_n\partial_z b_{nl} + \partial_y b_{nl} \\ s_n\partial_z b_{nl} - \partial_x b_{nl} \\ t_n\partial_x b_{nl} - s_n\partial_y b_{nl} \end{pmatrix}, g_{-nl} := b_{nl}k_n + \frac{1}{-i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}} \begin{pmatrix} -t_n\partial_z b_{nl} + \partial_y b_{nl} \\ s_n\partial_z b_{nl} - \partial_x b_{nl} \\ t_n\partial_x b_{nl} - s_n\partial_y b_{nl} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.8}$$

thus

$$w_{nl} = g_{nl}e^{i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + g_{-nl}e^{-i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}. \tag{7.9}$$

It's obvious that $b_{nl} \in C_c^\infty((a-\kappa, b+\kappa)\times T^3)$ and

$$w_{nol}, w_{ncl}, w_{nl}, w_n \in C_c^\infty((a-\kappa, b+\kappa)\times T^3).$$

Then we complete the construction of n-th perturbation w_n.

7.1.2. *Construction of n-th temperature perturbation.* To construct χ_n, we first denote β_{nl} by

$$\beta_{nl} := \begin{cases} -\frac{c_{n\ell_1}}{\sqrt{2(e(t)-a_{n\ell_1})}}\alpha_l(\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}), & n = 2, 3 \\ 0, & n = 4, ..., 6, \end{cases} \tag{7.10}$$

and denote main l-perturbation χ_{nol} by

$$\chi_{nol} := \beta_{nl}\Big(e^{i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + e^{-i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)$$

and l-corection χ_{ncl} by

$$\chi_{ncl} := \frac{\nabla\beta_{nl}\cdot(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)}{i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}|k_{nh}|^2}\Big(e^{i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} - e^{-i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big).$$

We denote l-perturbation χ_{nl} and n-th perturbation χ_n by

$$\chi_{nl} := \chi_{nol} + \chi_{ncl}$$

and

$$\chi_n := \sum_{l\in Z^3} \chi_{nl}.$$

If we set

$$h_{nl} = \beta_{nl} + \frac{\nabla\beta_{nl}\cdot(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)}{i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}|k_{nh}|^2}, \quad h_{-nl} = \beta_{nl} + \frac{\nabla\beta_{nl}\cdot(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)}{-i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}|k_{nh}|^2}, \tag{7.11}$$

then

$$\chi_n = \sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(h_{nl}e^{i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + h_{-nl}e^{-i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big).$$

By (4.7) and (7.10) on β_{nl}, we have $\|\beta_{nl}\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{300}$. Thus

$$\|\chi_n\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{6}. \tag{7.12}$$

7.2. **The constructions of v_{0n}, p_{0n}, θ_{0n}, f_{0n}, R_{0n}.**

First, we denote M_n by

$$\begin{aligned} M_n :=& \sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{nl}^2 k_n\otimes k_n\Big(e^{2i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + e^{-2i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)\\ &+ \sum_{l,l'\in Z^3, l\neq l'} w_{nol}\otimes w_{nol'} \end{aligned}$$

and N_n, K_n by

$$\begin{aligned} N_n :=& \sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big[w_{nl}\otimes\Big(v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big) + \Big(v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\otimes w_{nl}\Big]\\ &+ \sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big[w_{nl}\otimes\big(v_{0(n-1)}-v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\big) + \big(v_{0(n-1)}-v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\big)\otimes w_{nl}\Big],\\ K_n :=& \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{l\in Z^3}\beta_{nl}b_{nl}k_n\Big(e^{2i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)} + e^{-2i\lambda_n 2^{[l]}(k_{nh}^{\perp},0)\cdot\left((x,y,z)-\frac{l}{\mu}t\right)}\Big)\\ \quad + \sum\limits_{l,l'\in Z^3,l\neq l'} w_{nol}\chi_{nol'}, \quad n=2,3\\ 0, \quad n=4,...,6. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that N_n is a symmetric matrix. Then we set

$$\begin{aligned} &v_{0n} := v_{0(n-1)} + w_n, \quad p_{0n} := p_{0(n-1)}, \quad \theta_{0n} := \begin{cases} \theta_{0(n-1)}+\chi_n, & n=2,3\\ \theta_{0(n-1)}, & n=4,...,6 \end{cases}\\ &R_{0n} := R_{0(n-1)} + 2\sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{nl}^2 k_n\otimes k_n + \delta R_{0n},\\ &f_{0n} := \begin{cases} f_{0(n-1)} + 2\sum\limits_{l\in Z^3}\beta_{nl}b_{nl}k_n + \delta f_{0n}, & n=2,3\\ f_{0(n-1)} + \delta f_{0n}, & n=4,...,6, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta R_{0n} :=& \mathcal{R}(\mathrm{div} M_n) + N_n + \mathcal{R}\Big\{\partial_t w_n + \mathrm{div}\Big[\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(w_{nl}\otimes\frac{l}{\mu} + \frac{l}{\mu}\otimes w_{nl}\Big)\Big]\Big\} - \mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz}w_n)\\ &- \mathcal{R}(\chi_n e_3) + (w_{no}\otimes w_{nc} + w_{nc}\otimes w_{no} + w_{nc}\otimes w_{nc}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\delta f_{0n} := \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}(\mathrm{div}K_n) + \mathcal{G}(w_n \cdot \nabla\theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n}) + \mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_n + \sum\limits_{l\in Z^3} \frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\chi_{nl}\Big) - \mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz}\chi_n) \\ + \sum\limits_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0(n-1)\ell_n} - \frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{nl} + w_{nc}\chi_n + \sum\limits_{l\in Z^3}\big(v_{0(n-1)} - v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\big)\chi_{nl} \\ +w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)} - \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n}), \quad n = 2,3 \\ \mathcal{G}(w_n\cdot\nabla\theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n}) + w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)} - \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n}), \quad n = 4,...,6. \end{cases}$$

Here $\theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n} = \theta_{0(n-1)} * (\varphi_{\ell_n}(t)\varphi_{\ell_n}(x)\varphi_{\ell_n}(y)\varphi_{\ell_{nz}}(z))$. By Lemma 3.1, we know that δR_{0n} is a symmetric matrix. It's obvious that

$$\mathrm{div}v_{0n} = \mathrm{div}v_{0(n-1)} + \mathrm{div}w_n = 0.$$

By the definition of R_{0n}, δR_{0n} together with the fact that v_{0n}, p_{0n}, θ_{0n} and ($v_{0(n-1)}$, $p_{0(n-1)}$, $\theta_{0(n-1)}$, $R_{0(n-1)}$, $f_{0(n-1)}$) are solutions of the system (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{div}R_{0n} =& \mathrm{div}R_{0(n-1)} + \partial_t w_n - \partial_{zz}w_n - \chi_n e_3 \\ &+ \mathrm{div}(w_{no}\otimes w_{no} + w_n\otimes v_{0(n-1)} + v_{0(n-1)}\otimes w_n + w_{no}\otimes w_{nc} + w_{nc}\otimes w_{no} + w_{nc}\otimes w_{nc}) \\ =&\partial_t v_{0(n-1)} + \mathrm{div}(v_{0(n-1)}\otimes v_{0(n-1)}) + \nabla p_{0(n-1)} - \partial_{zz}v_{0(n-1)} - \theta_{0(n-1)}e_3 \\ &+ \partial_t w_n - \partial_{zz}w_n - \chi_n e_3 + \mathrm{div}(w_{no}\otimes w_{no} + w_n\otimes v_{0(n-1)} + v_{0(n-1)}\otimes w_n \\ &+ w_{no}\otimes w_{nc} + w_{nc}\otimes w_{no} + w_{nc}\otimes w_{nc}) \\ =&\partial_t v_{0n} + \mathrm{div}(v_{0n}\otimes v_{0n}) + \nabla p_{0n} - \partial_{zz}v_{0n} - \theta_{0n}e_3, \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\fint_{T^3} w_n(t,x,y,z)dxdydz = 0, \quad \mathrm{div}(M_n) + \mathrm{div}\Big(2\sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{nl}^2 k_n\otimes k_n\Big) = \mathrm{div}(w_{no}\otimes w_{no}).$$

Furthermore, from the definition of f_{0n}, δf_{0n} as well as v_{0n}, θ_{0n} and that ($v_{0(n-1)}$, $p_{0(n-1)}$, $\theta_{0(n-1)}$, $R_{0(n-1)}$, $f_{0(n-1)}$) are solutions of the system (2.2), we have, for $n = 2,3$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{div}f_{0n} =&\mathrm{div}f_{0(n-1)} + \partial_t\chi_n - \partial_{zz}\chi_n + \mathrm{div}(w_{no}\chi_n + w_{nc}\chi_n + v_{0(n-1)}\chi_n + w_n\theta_{0(n-1)}) \\ =&\mathrm{div}(v_{0(n-1)}\theta_{0(n-1)} + w_{no}\chi_n + w_{nc}\chi_n + v_{0(n-1)}\chi_n + w_n\theta_{0(n-1)}) \\ &+ \partial_t\big(\theta_{0(n-1)} + \chi_n\big) - \partial_{zz}\big(\theta_{0(n-1)} + \chi_n\big) \\ =&\partial_t\theta_{0n} + \mathrm{div}(v_{0n}\theta_{0n}) - \partial_{zz}\theta_{0n} \end{aligned}$$

and for $n = 4,5,6$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{div}f_{0n} = \mathrm{div}f_{0(n-1)} + w_n\cdot\nabla\theta_{0(n-1)} =&\partial_t\theta_{0(n-1)} + \mathrm{div}(v_{0(n-1)}\theta_{0(n-1)} + w_n\theta_{0(n-1)}) - \partial_{zz}\theta_{03} \\ =&\partial_t\theta_{0n} + \mathrm{div}(v_{0n}\theta_{0n}) - \partial_{zz}\theta_{0n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the functions (v_{0n}, p_{0n}, θ_{0n}, R_{0n}, f_{0n}) solves the system (2.2).

8. The representations

In this section, we will compute the following terms

$$R_{0(n-1)} + 2\sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{nl}^2 k_n\otimes k_n, \quad \text{and} \quad f_{0n} + 2\sum_{l\in Z^3}\beta_{nl}b_{nl}k_n.$$

8.1. **The representation of $R_{0(n-1)} + 2\sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{nl}^2 k_n \otimes k_n$.**

First, by the definition (7.5) on b_{nl}, we have

$$2\sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{nl}^2 k_n \otimes k_n = (e(t) - a_{n\ell_1})k_n \otimes k_n.$$

By (7.1), we obtain

$$R_{0(n-1)} + 2\sum_{l\in Z^3} b_{nl}^2 k_n \otimes k_n = -\sum_{i=n+1}^{6} (e(t) - a_{i\ell_1})k_i \otimes k_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta R_{0i}.$$

Meanwhile, we have

$$R_{0n} = -\sum_{i=n+1}^{6} (e(t) - a_{i\ell_1})k_i \otimes k_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta R_{0i}. \tag{8.1}$$

In particular, $R_{06} = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \delta R_{0i}$. In next section, we will prove that δR_{0n} is small.

8.2. **The representation of $f_{0n} + 2\sum_{l\in Z^3} \beta_{nl} b_{nl} k_n$.**

By the definition (7.5) on b_{nl} and (7.10) on β_{nl}, we have, for $n = 2, 3$

$$2\sum_{l\in Z^3} \beta_{nl} b_{nl} k_n = \sum_{l\in Z^3} \alpha_l^2 (\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}) c_{n\ell_1} k_n = -c_{n\ell_1} k_n.$$

Thus, by (7.1), we have

$$f_{0n} + 2\sum_{l\in Z^3} \beta_{nl} b_{nl} k_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta f_{0i} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{3} c_{i\ell_1} k_i, \quad n = 2, 3.$$

In particular, $f_{03} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \delta f_{0n}$. Finally, by (7.13), we have

$$f_{0n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta f_{0i}, \quad n = 4, 5, 6.$$

9. Estimates on δR_{0n} and δf_{0n}

First, we summarize some estimates on b_{nl} and β_{nl}.

Lemma 9.1. *For any $l \in Z^3$ and integer $r \geq 1$, we have the following estimates: for any $t > 0$*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq & C_r \kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-(r-1)},\\
\|\partial_z b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_z \beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq & C_r(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n \bar{\Lambda}\ell_n^{-r},\\
\|\partial_{zz} b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_{zz} \beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq & C_r(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n \bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\ell_n^{-r},\\
\|\partial_{zzz} b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_{zzz} \beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq & C_r(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n \bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-2}\ell_n^{-r},\\
\|\partial_t b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_t \beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq & C_r \kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-r},\\
\|\partial_{tt} b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \partial_{tt} \beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq & C_r \kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-(r+1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|h_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq & C_r\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-(r-1)},\\
\|\partial_z g_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_z h_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq & C_r(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_n^{-r},\\
\|\partial_t g_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_t h_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq & C_r\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-r},\\
\|\partial_{tt} g_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_{tt} h_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq & C_r\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-(r+1)},\\
\|\partial_{zz} g_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_{zz} h_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq & C_r(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\ell_n^{-r},\\
\|\partial_{zzz} g_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r + \|\partial_{zzz} h_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq & C_r(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-2}\ell_n^{-r}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.2}$$

Moreover, for any $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq & C_0\sqrt{\kappa},\\
\|\partial_z b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_z \beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq & C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda},\\
\|\partial_{zz} b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_{zz} \beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq & C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1},\\
\|\partial_{zzz} b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_{zzz} \beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq & C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-2},\\
\|\partial_t b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_t \beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq & C_0\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1},\\
\|\partial_{tt} b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \partial_{tt} \beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq & C_0\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|h_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq & C_0\sqrt{\kappa},\\
\|\partial_z g_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_z h_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq & C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda},\\
\|\partial_t g_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_t h_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq & C_0\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1},\\
\|\partial_{tt} g_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_{tt} h_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq & C_0\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-1},\\
\|\partial_{zz} g_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_{zz} h_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq & C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1},\\
\|\partial_{zzz} g_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 + \|\partial_{zzz} h_{\pm nl}(t,\cdot)\|_0 \leq & C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.4}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 6.1. First, by (6.4), for any $r \geq 2$, we obtain

$$\Big[\sqrt{e(t) - a_{n\ell_1}(t,\cdot)}\Big]_r \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}(\mu^r\Lambda^r + \mu\Lambda\ell_1^{-(r-1)}) \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda\ell_n^{-(r-1)}$$

and for $r = 0, 1$

$$\big[\sqrt{e(t) - a_{n\ell_1}(t,\cdot)}\big]_r \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^r\Lambda^r.$$

By (7.2), we know that $\|v_{0(n-1)}\|_1 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{n-1}$, thus, by (6.4), for any $r \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[\alpha_l(\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n})(t,\cdot)\big]_r \leq & C_r\sum_{i=1}^{r}\|(\nabla^i\alpha)_l\|_0[\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}(t,\cdot))]_1^{(i-1)\frac{r}{r-1}}[\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}(t,\cdot))]_r^{\frac{r-i}{r-1}}\\
\leq & C_r((\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1})^r + \sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-(r-1)}) \leq C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-(r-1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.5}$$

and for $r = 0, 1$

$$\big[\alpha_l(\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n})(t,\cdot)\big]_r \leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1})^r.$$

As in the proof of lemma 6.1, we can obtain for any integer $r \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\partial_z\Big(\sqrt{e(t)-a_{n\ell_1}(t,\cdot)}\Big)\Big\|_r \leq& C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_1^{-r},\\ \Big\|\partial_{zz}\Big(\sqrt{e(t)-a_{n\ell_1}(t,\cdot)}\Big)\Big\|_r \leq& C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-1}\ell_1^{-r},\\ \Big\|\partial_{zzz}\Big(\sqrt{e(t)-a_{n\ell_1}(t,\cdot)}\Big)\Big\|_r \leq& C_r\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}^{-2}\ell_1^{-r}.\end{aligned}$$

By (7.2), we know that $\|\partial_z v_{0(n-1)}\|_0 \leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}$, thus by inequality (6.1), assumption (7.4) and a similar argument as in (6.35), we have, for any integer $r \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\partial_z(\alpha_l(\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n})(t,\cdot))\big]_r \leq& C_r\mu(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}\ell_n^{-r},\\ \big[\partial_{zz}(\alpha_l(\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n})(t,\cdot))\big]_r \leq& C_r\mu(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\ell_n^{-r},\\ \big[\partial_{zzz}(\alpha_l(\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n})(t,\cdot))\big]_r \leq& C_r\mu(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-2}\ell_n^{-r}.\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_z(\alpha_l(\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\|_0 \leq& C_0\mu(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda},\\ \|\partial_{zz}(\alpha_l(\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\|_0 \leq& C_0\mu(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1},\\ \|\partial_{zzz}(\alpha_l(\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\|_0 \leq& C_0\mu(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-2}.\end{aligned}$$

By inequality (6.1) and assumption (7.4), we can obtain the first four estimates on b_{nl} in (9.1) and (9.3). Similarly, we can obtain the first four estimates on β_{nl} in (9.1) and (9.3).

We let

$$\Gamma_n = \sqrt{\frac{e(t)-a_{n\ell_1}}{2}}$$

and observe that

$$b_{nl} = \Gamma_n\alpha_l(\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}).$$

Differentiating in time on Γ_n, we have, for any $r \geq 0$

$$\|\partial_t\Gamma(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-r}, \quad \|\partial_{tt}\Gamma(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-(r+1)}. \tag{9.6}$$

Thus, by (9.5), (9.6) and $\|\partial_t v_{0(n-1)}\|_0 \leq \sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{n-1}$, we obtain, for any $r \geq 0$

$$\|\partial_t b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-r}, \quad \|\partial_{tt}b_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-r-1}.$$

Similarly, we obtain, for any $r \geq 0$

$$\|\partial_t\beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-r}, \quad \|\partial_{tt}\beta_{nl}(t,\cdot)\|_r \leq C_r\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n^{-r-1}.$$

Then we obtain the later two estimates in (9.1) and (9.3). From the definition (7.8) on g_{nl} and the definition (7.11) on h_{nl}, we deduce (9.2) and (9.4) directly from (9.1) and (9.3). Thus, the proof of this lemma is complete. □

From the definition of $w_{no}, w_{nc}, \chi_{no}, \chi_{nc}$ and the above lemma, we have the following estimates.

Lemma 9.2 (Estimates on the n-th main perturbation and correction)**.**

$$\begin{aligned}&\|w_{no}\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)w_{no}\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_n, \quad \|\partial_z w_{no}\|_0 \leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda},\\ &\|w_{nc}\|_0 \leq C_0\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\lambda_n^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)w_{nc}\|_0 \leq C_0\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}, \quad \|\partial_z w_{nc}\|_0 \leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_n^{-1}\lambda_n^{-1},\end{aligned} \tag{9.7}$$

and for $n = 2, 3$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\chi_{no}\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\chi_{no}\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_n, \quad \|\partial_z\chi_{no}\|_0 \leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda},\\ &\|\chi_{nc}\|_0 \leq C_0\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\lambda_n^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\chi_{nc}\|_0 \leq C_0\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}, \quad \|\partial_z\chi_{nc}\|_0 \leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_n^{-1}\lambda_n^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{9.8}$$

Proof. By (7.7), (7.12), lemma 9.1 and assumption (7.4), the proof is similar to that of Lemma 6.2, we omit it here. □

From the above lemma and (7.7), (7.12), (7.13), we also conclude that

Corollary 9.3. *For* $2 \le n \le 6$

$$\|v_{0n} - v_{0(n-1)}\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{12} + C_0\frac{\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n}, \quad \|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y)(v_{0n} - v_{0(n-1)})\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_n,$$
$$\|\partial_z(v_{0n} - v_{0(n-1)})\|_0 \le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}, \quad \|p_{0n} - p_{0(n-1)}\|_0 = 0$$

and for $n = 2, 3$

$$\|\theta_{0n} - \theta_{0n-1}\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{6} + C_0\frac{\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n}, \quad \|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y)(\theta_{0n} - \theta_{0(n-1)})\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_n,$$
$$\|\partial_z(\theta_{0n} - \theta_{0(n-1)})\|_0 \le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}.$$

9.1. **Estimates on δR_{0n}.**

As before, we again split δR_{0n} into three parts:

(1) the oscillation part

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{div}M_n) - \mathcal{R}(\chi_n e_3) - \mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz}w_n),$$

(2) the transportation part

$$\mathcal{R}\Big\{\partial_t w_n + \mathrm{div}\Big[\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(w_{nl}\otimes\frac{l}{\mu} + \frac{l}{\mu}\otimes w_{nl}\Big)\Big]\Big\} = \mathcal{R}\Big(\partial_t w_n + \sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{nl}\Big),$$

(3) the error part

$$N_n + (w_{no}\otimes w_{nc} + w_{nc}\otimes w_{no} + w_{nc}\otimes w_{nc}).$$

As before, we will estimate each term separately.

Lemma 9.4 (The oscillation part)**.**

$$\|\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{div}M_n)\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1})\lambda_n^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y)\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{div}M_n)\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1}),$$
$$\|\partial_z\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{div}M_n)\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_n^{-1}\lambda_n^{-1},$$
$$\big\|\mathcal{R}(\chi_n e_3)\big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_n^{-1}, \quad \big\|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y)\mathcal{R}(\chi_n e_3)\big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa},$$
$$\big\|\partial_z\mathcal{R}(\chi_n e_3)\big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\lambda_n^{-1},$$
$$\big\|\mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz}w_n)\big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\lambda_n^{-1}, \quad \big\|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y)\mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz}w_n)\big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1},$$
$$\big\|\partial_z\mathcal{R}(\partial_{zz}w_n)\big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-2}\lambda_n^{-1}.$$

Lemma 9.5 (The transportation part)**.**

$$\Big\|\mathcal{R}\Big(\partial_t w_n + \sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{nl}\Big)\Big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\lambda_n^{-1},$$
$$\Big\|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y)\mathcal{R}\Big(\partial_t w_n + \sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{nl}\Big)\Big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1},$$
$$\Big\|\partial_z\mathcal{R}\Big(\partial_t w_n + \sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla w_{nl}\Big)\Big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_n^{-1}\lambda_n^{-1}.$$

Lemma 9.6 (Estimates on error part I)**.**

$$\|N_n\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y)N_n\|_0 \le C_0\lambda_n\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}, \quad \|\partial_z N_n\|_0 \le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\mu^{-1}.$$

Lemma 9.7 (Estimates on error part II)**.**

$$\begin{aligned}\|w_{no}\otimes w_{nc}+w_{nc}\otimes w_{no}+w_{nc}\otimes w_{nc}\|_0 \leq& C_0\kappa\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1}\lambda_n^{-1},\\ \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(w_{no}\otimes w_{nc}+w_{nc}\otimes w_{no}+w_{nc}\otimes w_{nc})\|_0 \leq& C_0\kappa\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1},\\ \|\partial_z(w_{no}\otimes w_{nc}+w_{nc}\otimes w_{no}+w_{nc}\otimes w_{nc})\|_0 \leq& C_0\sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_n^{-1}\lambda_n^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 9.1, the proof of the above four lemmas except Lemma 9.6 are similar to that of Lemma 6.4, Lemma 6.5, Lemma 6.7 respectively, we omit it here and only give a proof of Lemma 9.6.

Proof of Lemma 9.6. Recall that $N_n = N_{n1} + N_{n2}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}N_{n1} :=& \sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big[w_{nl}\otimes\Big(v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)+\Big(v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\otimes w_{nl}\Big],\\ N_{n2} :=& \sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big[w_{nl}\otimes\big(v_{0(n-1)}-v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\big)+\big(v_{0(n-1)}-v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\big)\otimes w_{nl}\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Since $w_{nl}\neq 0$ implies $|\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}-l|<1$, then

$$\|N_{n1}\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}.$$

By (7.2), we obtain $\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)v_{0(n-1)}\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{n-1}$ and $\|\partial_z v_{0(n-1)}\|_0 \leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}$. By (7.4), we have

$$\|N_{n2}\|_0 \leq C_0(\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n+\sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}) \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}.$$

Combining the two parts, we arrive at the first estimate of Lemma 9.6. The second estimate can be obtained by the same argument.

By (4.2), Lemma 9.2, $|\mu v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}-l|<1$, $\|\partial_z v_{0(n-1)}\|_0 \leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}$ and (7.4), we conclude

$$\|\partial_z N_{n1}\|_0 \leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\mu^{-1}+C_0\sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda} \leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\mu^{-1}.$$

A similar argument on N_{n2} also gives

$$\|\partial_z N_{n2}\|_0 \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}+C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\Big(\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}\Big) \leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\mu^{-1}.$$

Then we obtain the third estimate. Thus, the proof of this lemma is complete. □

Finally, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\|\delta R_{0n}\|_0 \leq& C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_n^{-1}\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\Big],\\ \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\delta R_{0n}\|_0 \leq& C_0(\varepsilon)\lambda_n\Big[\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_n^{-1}\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\Big],\\ \|\partial_z\delta R_{0n}\|_0 \leq& C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\mu^{-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\Big(\ell_n^{-1}+\ell_{nz}^{-2}\Big)\lambda_n^{-1}\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{9.9}$$

9.2. **Estimates on δf_{0n}.**

As before, for $n=2,3$, we split δf_{0n} into three parts:

(1) the Oscillation Part:

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{div}K_n)+\mathcal{G}(w_n\cdot\nabla\theta_{0n-1\ell_n})-\mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz}\chi_n).$$

(2) the transportation part:

$$\mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_n+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\chi_{nl}\Big).$$

(3) the error part:

$$w_{nc}\chi_n+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0n-1\ell_n}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{nl}+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\big(v_{0(n-1)}-v_{0n-1\ell_n}\big)\chi_{nl}+w_n(\theta_{0n-1}-\theta_{0n-1\ell_n}).$$

We estimate them term by term.

Lemma 9.8 (The Oscillation Part)**.**

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{div}K_n)\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1})\lambda_n^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{div}K_n)\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1}),\\
\|\partial_z\mathcal{G}(\mathrm{div}K_n)\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_n^{-1}\lambda_n^{-1},\\
\|\mathcal{G}(w_n\cdot\nabla\theta_{0n-1\ell_n})\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\lambda_n^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{G}(w_n\cdot\nabla\theta_{0n-1\ell_n})\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\lambda_{n-1},\\
\|\partial_z\mathcal{G}(w_n\cdot\nabla\theta_{0n-1\ell_n})\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\lambda_n^{-1},\\
\|\mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz}\chi_n)\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\lambda_n^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz}\chi_n)\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1},\\
\|\partial_z\mathcal{G}(\partial_{zz}\chi_n)\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-2}\lambda_n^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 9.9 (The transportation Part)**.** *For $n=2,3$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|\mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_n+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\chi_{nl}\Big)\Big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\lambda_n^{-1},\\
&\Big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_n+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\chi_{nl}\Big)\Big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1},\\
&\Big\|\partial_z\mathcal{G}\Big(\partial_t\chi_n+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\frac{l}{\mu}\cdot\nabla\chi_{nl}\Big)\Big\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_n^{-1}\lambda_n^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the above two lemmas are similar to that of Lemma 6.9, Lemma 6.10 respectively, we omit it here.

Lemma 9.10 (The error Part)**.** *For $n=2,3$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w_{nc}\chi_n\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}\lambda_n^{-1}, \quad &\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(w_{nc}\chi_n)\|_0 \le C_0\kappa(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1}),\\
\|\partial_z(w_{nc}\chi_n)\|_0 \le& C_0\sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_n^{-1}\lambda_n^{-1},\\
\Big\|\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0n-1\ell_n}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{nl}+&\sum_{l\in Z^3}\big(v_{0(n-1)}-v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\big)\chi_{nl}\\
&+w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)}-\theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\Big\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1},\\
\Big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\Big[\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{nl}&+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\big(v_{0(n-1)}-v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\big)\chi_{nl}\\
&+w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)}-\theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\Big]\Big\|_0 \le C_0\lambda_n\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1},\\
\Big\|\partial_z\Big[\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}-\frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{nl}&+\sum_{l\in Z^3}\Big(v_{0(n-1)}-v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\Big)\chi_{nl}\\
&+w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)}-\theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\Big]\Big\|_0 \le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\mu^{-1}. \qquad (9.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, by (9.7) and (7.4), the first three estimates are obtained direct.

Since $w_{nl}\neq 0$ implies $|\mu n_{0(n-1)\ell_n}-l|<1$ and by (7.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)v_{0(n-1)}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{n-1},\\
\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\theta_{0(n-1)}\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{n-1},\\
\|\partial_z v_{0(n-1)}\|_0 \le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}\\
\|\partial_z\theta_{0(n-1)}\|_0 \le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (7.4), we arrive at

$$\Big\| \sum_{l\in Z^3} \Big(v_{0(n-1)\ell_n} - \frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{nl} + \sum_{l\in Z^3} \big(v_{0(n-1)} - v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\big)\chi_{nl} + w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)} - \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\Big\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}. \tag{9.11}$$

Similarly

$$\Big\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\Big[\sum_{l\in Z^2} \Big(v_{0(n-1)\ell_n} - \frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{nl} + \sum_{l\in Z^3} \big(v_{0(n-1)} - v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\big)\chi_{2l} + w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)} - \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\Big]\Big\|_0 \le C_0\lambda_n\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}.$$

Thus, we get the fourth and fifth estimates in this lemma.

By Lemma 9.2 and assumption (7.4), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\|\partial_z\Big[\sum_{l\in Z^3} \Big(v_{0(n-1)\ell_n} - \frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{nl}\Big]\Big\|_0 =& \Big\| \sum_{l\in Z^3} (\partial_z v_{0(n-1)})_{\ell_n}\chi_{nl} + \sum_{l\in Z^3} \Big(v_{0(n-1)\ell_n} - \frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\partial_z\chi_{nl}\Big\|_0 \le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar\Lambda\mu^{-1},\\
\Big\|\partial_z \sum_{l\in Z^3} \big(v_{0(n-1)} - v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\big)\chi_{nl}\Big\|_0 =& \Big\| \sum_{l\in Z^3} \big(\partial_z v_{0(n-1)} - (\partial_z v_{0(n-1)})_{\ell_n}\big)\chi_{nl} + \sum_{l\in Z^3} \big(v_{0(n-1)} - v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\big)\partial_z\chi_{nl}\Big\|_0 \le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar\Lambda\mu^{-1},\\
\big\|\partial_z(w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)} - \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n}))\big\|_0 =& \|\partial_z w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)} - \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n}) + w_n(\partial_z\theta_{0(n-1)} - (\partial_z\theta_{0(n-1)})_{\ell_n})\|_0 \le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar\Lambda\mu^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, collecting all those estimates, we arrive at

$$\Big\|\partial_z\Big[\sum_{l\in Z^3} \Big(v_{0(n-1)\ell_n} - \frac{l}{\mu}\Big)\chi_{nl} + \sum_{l\in Z^3} \big(v_{0(n-1)} - v_{0(n-1)\ell_n}\big)\chi_{nl} + w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)} - \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\Big]\Big\|_0 \le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar\Lambda\mu^{-1},$$

which is the last estimate in this lemma. □

Finally, for $n = 2, 3$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\delta f_{0n}\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1} + \Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1} + (\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar\Lambda\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\lambda_n^{-1}\Big],\\
\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\delta f_{0n}\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\lambda_n\Big[\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1} + \Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1} + (\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar\Lambda\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\lambda_n^{-1}\Big],\\
\|\partial_z\delta f_{0n}\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar\Lambda\mu^{-1} + \Big((\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar\Lambda(\ell_{nz}^{-2} + \ell_n^{-1}) + \kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\lambda_n^{-1}\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{9.12}$$

Next, we deal with δf_{0n} for $n = 4, 5, 6$.

Lemma 9.1. *For $n = 4, 5, 6$, we have the following estimates,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\delta f_{0n}\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\big(\kappa\lambda_3\lambda_n^{-1} + \kappa\lambda_3\ell_n + \sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^3\bar\Lambda\ell_{nz}\big) \le C_0(\varepsilon)\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1},\\
\|\partial_z\delta f_{0n}\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar\Lambda\mu^{-1} + \kappa\lambda_3\ell_{nz}^{-1}\lambda_n^{-1}\Big],\\
\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\delta f_{0n}\|_0 \le& C_0(\varepsilon)\lambda_n\big(\kappa\lambda_3\lambda_n^{-1} + \kappa\lambda_3\ell_n + \sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^3\bar\Lambda\ell_{nz}\big) \le C_0(\varepsilon)\lambda_n\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.13}$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\delta f_{0n} = \mathcal{G}(w_n \cdot \nabla \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n}) + w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)} - \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n}).$$

By (7.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_n \cdot \nabla \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n} = \sum_{l \in Z^3} \Big(& g_{nl} \cdot \nabla \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n} e^{i\lambda_n 2^{[l]} (k_{nh}^{\perp}, 0) \cdot \left((x,y,z) - \frac{l}{\mu} t \right)} \\ & + g_{-nl} \cdot \nabla \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n} e^{-i\lambda_n 2^{[l]} (k_{nh}^{\perp}, 0) \cdot \left((x,y,z) - \frac{l}{\mu} t \right)} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 9.1 and Corollary 9.3, as in the proof of (6.52), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{G}(w_n \cdot \nabla \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\|_0 \le C_0 \kappa \lambda_3 \lambda_n^{-1}, \quad \|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y) \mathcal{G}(w_n \cdot \nabla \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\|_0 \le C_0 \kappa \lambda_3, \\ &\|\partial_z \mathcal{G}(w_n \cdot \nabla \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\|_0 \le C_0 \kappa \lambda_3 \ell_{nz}^{-1} \lambda_n^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Lemma 9.1, Corollary 9.3 and assumption (7.4), we have, for $n = 4, 5, 6$

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)} - \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\|_0 \le & C_0(\kappa \lambda_3 \ell_n + \sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^3 \bar{\Lambda} \ell_{nz}), \\ \|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y) w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)} - \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n})\|_0 \le & C_0 \lambda_n (\kappa \lambda_3 \ell_n + \sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^3 \bar{\Lambda} \ell_{nz}), \\ \|\partial_z(w_n(\theta_{0(n-1)} - \theta_{0(n-1)\ell_n}))\|_0 \le & C_0 (\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n \bar{\Lambda} \mu^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting all these estimates, we complete the proof of this lemma. □

Therefore, for $2 \le n \le 6$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta f_{0n}\|_0 \le & C_0(\varepsilon) \Big[\sqrt{\kappa} \mu^{-1} + \Big(\kappa \mu \lambda_{n-1} + (\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n \bar{\Lambda} \ell_{nz}^{-1} \Big) \lambda_n^{-1} \Big], \\ \|(\partial_t, \partial_x, \partial_y) \delta f_{0n}\|_0 \le & C_0(\varepsilon) \lambda_n \Big[\sqrt{\kappa} \mu^{-1} + \Big(\kappa \mu \lambda_{n-1} + (\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n \bar{\Lambda} \ell_{nz}^{-1} \Big) \lambda_n^{-1} \Big], \\ \|\partial_z \delta f_{0n}\|_0 \le & C_0(\varepsilon) \Big[(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n \bar{\Lambda} \mu^{-1} + \Big((\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n \bar{\Lambda} (\ell_{nz}^{-2} + \ell_n^{-1}) + \kappa \lambda_{n-1} \ell_{nz}^{-1} \Big) \lambda_n^{-1} \Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{9.14}$$

By (9.9), (9.14), (7.2), (6.61) and Corollary 9.3, we can construct

$$(v_{0n},\ p_{0n},\ \theta_{0n},\ R_{0n},\ f_{0n}), \quad n = 1, ..., 6.$$

They solve system (2.1) and satisfy

$$R_{0n} = -\sum_{i=n+1}^{6} (e(t) - a_{i\ell_n}) k_i \otimes k_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta R_{0i}, \quad f_{0n} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{3} c_{i\ell_1} k_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta f_{0i} \tag{9.15}$$

and

$$\|v_{0n}-v_{0(n-1)}\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{12}+C_0\frac{\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n},\quad \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(v_{0n}-v_{0(n-1)})\|_0 \le C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_n,$$

$$\|\theta_{0n}-\theta_{0(n-1)}\|_0 \le \begin{cases} \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{6}+C_0\frac{\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n}, & n=1,2,3,\\ 0, & n=4,5,6\end{cases} \qquad \|\partial_z(v_{0n}-v_{0(n-1)})\|_0 \le C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda},$$

$$\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)(\theta_{0n}-\theta_{0(n-1)})\|_0 \le \begin{cases} C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_n, & n=1,2,3,\\ 0, & n=4,5,6\end{cases}$$

$$\|\partial_z(\theta_{0n}-\theta_{0(n-1)})\|_0 \le \begin{cases} C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}, & n=1,2,3,\\ 0, & n=4,5,6\end{cases} \qquad \|p_{0n}-p_{0(n-1)}\|_0=0,$$

$$\|\delta R_{0n}\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n+\lambda_n^{-1}\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\Big],$$

$$\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\delta R_{0n}\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\lambda_n\Big[\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n+\lambda_n^{-1}\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\Big],$$

$$\|\partial_z\delta R_{0n}\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\big(\mu^{-1}+\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n\big)+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\Big(\ell_n^{-1}+\ell_{nz}^{-2}\Big)\lambda_n^{-1}\Big],$$

$$\|\delta f_{0n}\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n+\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\Lambda\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\lambda_n^{-1}\Big],$$

$$\|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\delta f_{0n}\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\lambda_n\Big[\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n+\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\Lambda\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\lambda_n^{-1}\Big],$$

$$\|\partial_z\delta f_{0n}\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\Big[(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\big(\mu^{-1}+\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n\big)+\Big((\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}(\ell_{nz}^{-2}+\ell_n^{-1})+\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\lambda_n^{-1}\Big].$$

In particular, we conclude that

$$R_{06}=\sum_{i=1}^{6}\delta R_{0i},\quad f_{06}=\sum_{i=1}^{6}\delta f_{0i}.$$

10. Proof of proposition 2.1

In this section, we collect all the estimates from the preceding sections. From these estimates , we can prove Proposition 2.1 by choosing the appropriate parameters $\ell_n, \ell_{nz}, \mu, \lambda_n$ for $1 \le n \le 6$.

Proof. In section 9, we have constructed functions $(v_{06},\ p_{06},\ \theta_{06},\ R_{06},\ f_{06})$, they solve system (2.1) and satisfy

$$\|R_{06}\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\sum_{n=1}^{6}\Big[\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_n^{-1}\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\Big],$$

$$\|f_{06}\|_0 \le C_0(\varepsilon)\sum_{n=1}^{6}\Big[\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_n^{-1}\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\Lambda\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\Big],$$

$$\|v_{06}-v_0\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{2}+C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\sum_{n=2}^{6}\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n}+C_0\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda}{\lambda_1},$$

$$\|\theta_{06}-\theta_0\|_0 \le \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{2}+C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\sum_{n=2}^{3}\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n}+C_0\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda}{\lambda_1},\qquad \|p_{06}-p_0\|_0=0 \tag{10.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_{06}\|_{C^1} \leq & C_0(\varepsilon)\sum_{n=1}^{6}\lambda_n\Big[\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_n^{-1}\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\Big],\\
\|R_{06}\|_{C_z^1} \leq & C_0(\varepsilon)\sum_{n=1}^{6}\Big[(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\Big(\mu^{-1}+\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n\Big)+\lambda_n^{-1}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\Big(\ell_n^{-1}+\ell_{nz}^{-2}\Big)\Big],\\
\|f_{06}\|_{C^1} \leq & C_0(\varepsilon)\sum_{n=1}^{6}\lambda_n\Big[\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n+\sqrt{\kappa}\mu^{-1}+\lambda_n^{-1}\Big(\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\Big],\\
\|f_{06}\|_{C_z^1} \leq & C_0(\varepsilon)\sum_{n=1}^{6}\Big[(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\Big(\mu^{-1}+\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n\Big)+\lambda_n^{-1}\Big((\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}(\ell_{nz}^{-2}+\ell_n^{-1})+\kappa\lambda_{n-1}\ell_{nz}^{-1}\Big)\Big],\\
\|v_{06}-v_0\|_{C^1} \leq & C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\sum_{n=1}^{6}\lambda_n, \qquad \|\theta_{06}-\theta_0\|_{C^1} \leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\sum_{n=1}^{3}\lambda_n,\\
\|\partial_z(v_{06}-v_0)\|_0 \leq & C_0\sum_{n=1}^{6}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}, \qquad \|\partial_z(\theta_{06}-\theta_0)\|_0 \leq C_0\sum_{n=1}^{3}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}.
\end{aligned}\tag{10.2}$$

where $\lambda_0 := \Lambda\kappa^{-1}+\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{1z}\kappa^{-1}\ell_1^{-1}$. We divide the remainder proof into four steps:

Step 1. We now specify the choice of the parameters. First we choose

$$\mu=\frac{L_v\sqrt{\kappa}}{\bar{\kappa}},\quad \ell_1=\frac{\bar{\kappa}}{L_v\Lambda},\quad \ell_{1z}=\frac{\bar{\kappa}}{L_v\bar{\Lambda}},\quad \lambda_1=D_v\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\Lambda^{1+\varepsilon}+\mu^2\bar{\Lambda}^2}{\bar{\kappa}} \tag{10.3}$$

where L_v is a sufficiently large constant which depends only on $\|v\|_0$.

Next, we impose

$$\ell_n=\frac{1}{L_v}\frac{\bar{\kappa}}{\kappa\lambda_{n-1}},\quad \ell_{nz}=\frac{1}{L_v}\frac{\bar{\kappa}}{\sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}},\quad \lambda_n=D_v\frac{\kappa\mu\lambda_{n-1}^{1+\varepsilon}+(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar{\Lambda}\ell_{nz}^{-1}}{\bar{\kappa}},\quad n=2,...,6. \tag{10.4}$$

where $D_v \geq L_v^2$ is a sufficiently large constant which depends only on $\|v\|_0$.

Step 2. Compatibility condition. We check that all the conditions in (4.1), (7.3) are satisfied by our choice of the parameters.

We first check the first triple (ℓ_1,μ,λ_1). By (10.3), it's easy to see

$$\ell_1^{-1}=L_v\frac{\Lambda}{\bar{\kappa}}\geq\frac{L_v\sqrt{\kappa}}{\bar{\kappa}}\Lambda\geq\mu\Lambda,\quad \ell_{1z}^{-1}=L_v\frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{\bar{\kappa}}\geq\frac{L_v\sqrt{\kappa}}{\bar{\kappa}}\bar{\Lambda}\geq\mu\bar{\Lambda}.$$

Since $\bar{\kappa}\leq\kappa^{\frac{3}{2}}$, by (10.3)

$$\mu\geq\frac{1}{\kappa}.$$

From $D_v\geq L_v^{1+\varepsilon}$, we arrive at

$$\lambda_1\geq\Big(L_v\frac{\Lambda}{\bar{\kappa}}\Big)^{1+\varepsilon}\geq\ell_1^{-(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

Thus, (4.1) is satisfied.

Next, for $n=2,...,6$, obviously

$$\ell_n^{-1}=L_v\frac{\kappa\lambda_{n-1}}{\bar{\kappa}}=\sqrt{\kappa}\frac{L_v\sqrt{\kappa}}{\bar{\kappa}}\lambda_{n-1}=\sqrt{\kappa}\mu\lambda_{n-1},\quad \ell_{nz}^{-1}=\frac{L_v\sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}}{\bar{\kappa}}=\mu(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^{n-1}\bar{\Lambda}.$$

By (10.4), it's easy to obtain

$$\lambda_n\geq\ell_n^{-(1+\varepsilon)}.$$

Thus, (7.3) is satisfied.

From (10.4) and ε small, a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_3 \leq& C_0 D_v^{3+4\varepsilon}\Big(\kappa^{\frac{2+\varepsilon}{2}}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu}{\bar\kappa}\Big)^{3+4\varepsilon}\Lambda^{(1+\varepsilon)^3}+\frac{\kappa^{2+\varepsilon}\mu^{4+6\varepsilon}\bar\Lambda^{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}}{\bar\kappa^{3+4\varepsilon}}\Big),\\ \lambda_6 \leq& C_0 D_v^{7}\Big(\kappa^{\frac{5+11\varepsilon}{2}}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu}{\bar\kappa}\Big)^{6+16\varepsilon}\Lambda^{(1+\varepsilon)^6}+\frac{\kappa^{5+11\varepsilon}\mu^{7+21\varepsilon}\bar\Lambda^{2(1+\varepsilon)^5}}{\bar\kappa^{6+16\varepsilon}}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{10.5}$$

Step 3. C^0 **estimates.** Fixed ε small. Thus, (10.1) implies

$$\|R_{06}\|_0 \leq C_v\Big(\bar\kappa L_v^{-1}+\bar\kappa D_v^{-1}\Big),\quad \|f_{06}\|_0 \leq C_v\Big(\bar\kappa L_v^{-1}+\bar\kappa D_v^{-1}\Big),$$
$$\|v_{06}-v_0\|_0 \leq \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{D_v},\quad \|\theta_{06}-\theta_0\|_0 \leq \frac{M\sqrt{\kappa}}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{D_v},\quad \|p_{06}-p_0\|_0=0.$$

Choosing first L_v and then, D_v sufficiently large, we can achieve the desired inequalities (2.5)-(2.9).

Step 4. C^1 **estimates.** By the specified choices of parameters, we have

$$\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_{n-1}\ell_n \leq \mu^{-1},\quad \lambda_n^{-1}(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^n\bar\Lambda\Big(\ell_n^{-1}+\ell_{nz}^{-2}\Big)\leq \kappa^{\frac{3}{2}}\mu^2\bar\Lambda,\quad n=1,...,6.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\|R_{06}\|_{C^1}\leq\lambda_6\bar\kappa,\quad \|f_{06}\|_{C^1}\leq\lambda_6\bar\kappa,\quad \|v_{06}-v_0\|_{C^1}\leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_6,\quad \|\theta_{06}-\theta_0\|_{C^1}\leq C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_3,\\ &\|R_{06}\|_{C^1_z}\leq C_0\kappa^3\mu^5\bar\Lambda,\quad \|f_{06}\|_{C^1_z}\leq C_0\kappa^3\mu^5\bar\Lambda,\quad \|v_{06}-v_0\|_{C^1_z}\leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^6\bar\Lambda,\\ &\|\theta_{06}-\theta_0\|_{C^1_z}\leq C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^3\bar\Lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Since ε is small, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_1 :=& \max\{1,\|R_{06}\|_{C^1},\|f_{06}\|_{C^1},\|v_{06}\|_{C^1},\|\theta_{06}\|_{C^1}\}\leq\Lambda+C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_6\\ \leq& C_0D_v^7\Big(\kappa^{\frac{6+11\varepsilon}{2}}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}\mu}{\bar\kappa}\Big)^{6+16\varepsilon}\Lambda^{(1+\varepsilon)^6}+\frac{\kappa^{5.5+11\varepsilon}\mu^{7+21\varepsilon}\bar\Lambda^{2(1+\varepsilon)^5}}{\bar\kappa^{6+16\varepsilon}}\Big),\\ \bar\Lambda_1 :=& \max\{1,\|R_{06}\|_{C^1_z},\|f_{06}\|_{C^1_z},\|v_{06}\|_{C^1_z},\|\theta_{06}\|_{C^1_z}\}\leq\bar\Lambda+C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^6\bar\Lambda\leq C_0L_v^6\Big(\frac{\kappa}{\bar\kappa}\Big)^6\bar\Lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Setting $A=C_0D_v^7$, we conclude estimate (2.10).

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_z\theta_{06}\|_0 \leq& \bar\Lambda+C_0(\sqrt{\kappa}\mu)^3\bar\Lambda\leq A\Big(\frac{\kappa}{\bar\kappa}\Big)^3\bar\Lambda,\\ \|(\partial_t,\partial_x,\partial_y)\theta_{06}\|_0 \leq& \Lambda+C_0\sqrt{\kappa}\lambda_3\leq A\Big(\kappa^{\frac{3+\varepsilon}{2}}\Big(\frac{\kappa}{\bar\kappa^2}\Big)^{3+4\varepsilon}\Lambda^{(1+\varepsilon)^3}+\frac{\kappa^{\frac{5}{2}+\varepsilon}\bar\Lambda^{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}}{\bar\kappa^{3+4\varepsilon}}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{\bar\kappa}\Big)^{4+6\varepsilon}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

thus, we arrive at (2.11). Finally, we set

$$\tilde v := v_{06},\ \tilde p := p_{06},\ \tilde\theta := \theta_{06},\ \tilde R := R_{06},\ \tilde f := f_{06}.$$

then $(\tilde v,\ \tilde p,\ \tilde\theta,\ \tilde R,\ \tilde f)$ are what in our Proposition (2.1). □

Acknowledgments. The research is partially supported by the Chinese NSF under grant 11471320. We thank Zhouping Xin and Tianwen Luo for very valuable discussions.

References

[1] T. Buckmaster, *Onsager's conjecture almost everywhere in time*, Commun. Math. Phys. 333(2015), 1175-1198.

[2] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, P. Isett, Székelyhidi. Jr. L, *Anomalous dissipation for 1/5-Hölder Euler flows*, Ann. of. Math. 182(2015), 127-172

[3] T. Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, Székelyhidi. Jr. L, *Transporting microsructure and dissipative Euler flows*, arXiv:1302.2825, (2013)

[4] T, Buckmaster, C. De Lellis, Székelyhidi. Jr.L, *Dissipative Euler flows with Onsager-critical spatial regularity*, Common. Pure. Appl .Math. (2015), 1-58

[5] Chongsheng Cao, Jiahong Wu *Global regularity for the 2d anisotropic Boussinesq eqaution with vertical dissipation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal, 208(2013), 985-1004

[6] A. Cheskidov, P. Constantin, S. Friedlander, R. Shvydkoy, *Energy conservation and Onsager's conjecture for the Euler equations*, Nonlinearity 21(6)(2008), 1233-1252

[7] A. Choffrut, *H-principles for the incompressible Euler equations*, Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. 210(2013), 133-163.

[8] P. Constantin, *On the Euler equation of incompressible flow*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 44(4)(2007), 603-621.

[9] P. Constantin, A. Majda, *The Betrami spectrum for incompressible fluid flows*, Commun. Math. Phys. 115(1988), 435-456

[10] S. Conti, C. De Lellis, Székelyhidi. Jr. L, *H-principle and rigidity for $C^{1,\alpha}$ isometric embeddings* , In Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations vol.7 of Abel Symposia Springer (2012), 83-116.

[11] P. Constantin, E. W, Titi. E. S, *Onsager's conjecture on the energy conservation for solutions of Euler's equation*, Comm. Math. Phys, 165(1)(1994), 207-209.

[12] S. Daneri, *Cauchy problem for dissipative Hölder solutions to the incompressible Euler equations*, Commun. Math. Phy. (2014), 1-42.

[13] S. Daneri, Székelyhidi. Jr. L, *Non-uniqueness and h-principle for Hölder continuous weak solution of Euler equation*, arXiv:1603.09714

[14] C. De Lellis, Székelyhidi. Jr. L, *The Euler equation as a differential inclusion*, Ann. of. Math. 170(3)(2009), 1417-1436.

[15] C. De Lellis, Székelyhidi. Jr. L, *On admissibility criteria for weak solutions of the Euler equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 195(1)(2010), 225-260.

[16] C. De Lellis, Székelyhidi. Jr. L, *The h-principle and the equations of fluid dynamics*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 49(3)(2012), 347-375.

[17] C. De Lellis, Székelyhidi. Jr. L, *Dissipative continuous Euler flows*, Invent. Math. 193(2)(2013), 377-407

[18] C. De Lellis, Székelyhidi. Jr. L, *Dissipative Euler flows and Onsager's conjecture*, Jour. Eur. Math. Soc.(JEMS)16(2014),no. 7, 1467-1505.

[19] C. De Lellis, D. Inauen, Székelyhidi. Jr. L, *A Nash-Kuiper theorem for $C^{1,\frac{1}{5}-\kappa}$ immersions of surfaces in 3 dimensions*, arXiv:1510.01934vl, 2015

[20] J. Duchon, R. Raoul, *Inertial energy dissipation for weak solutions of incompressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity. 13(2000), 249-255

[21] P. Isett, Oh, S.-J, *A heat flow approach to Onsager's conjecture for the Euler equations on manifolds*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

[22] P. Isett, Oh, S.-J, *On nonperiodic Euler flows with Hölder regularity*, to appear in Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal(2016).

[23] P. Isett, *Hölder continuous Euler flows in three dimensions with compact support in time*, arXiv:1211.4065, 2012.

[24] P. Isett, *A proof of Onsager's conjecture*, arXiv:1608.8301, 2016.

[25] P. Isett, V. Vicol, *Hölder conyinuous solutions of active scalar equations*, to appear in Ann. of. PDE, 2015

[26] Jinkai Li, Edriss S.Titi, *Global well-posedness of the 2d Boussinesq eqaution with vertical dissipation*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal, 220(2015), 983-1001

[27] Luo Tianwen, Xin Zhouping, *Hölder continuous solutions to the 3d Prandtl system* in prepareation.

[28] A. Majda, *Introduction to PDEs and Waves for the Atmosphere and Ocean*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 9. AMS/CIMS, 2003

[29] J. Nash, *C^1 isometric embeddings*, Ann. of. Math. 60(1954), 383-396.

[30] L. Onsager, *Statistical hydrodynamics*, Nuovo Cimento(9)(1949), 279-287.

[31] J. Pedlosky, *Geophysical fluid dynamics*, Springer, New-York, 1987

[32] V. Scheffer, *An inviscid flow with compact support in space-time*, J. Geom. Anal. (1993),343-401.

[33] A. Shnirelman, *Weak solution with decreasing energy of incompressible Euler equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. 210(2000), 541-603

[34] A. Shnirelman, *On the nonuniqueness of weak solution of Euler equation*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. 50(12)(1997), 1261-1286

[35] R. Shvydkoy, *Convex integration for a class of active scalar equations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 24(4)(2011), 1159-1174

[36] R. Shvydkoy, *Lectures on the onsager conjecture*, Dis. Con. Dyn. Sys. 3(3)(2010), 473-496.

[37] Jr. L. Székelyhidi, *From Isometric Embeddings to Turbulence*, Lecture note, 2012.

[38] T. Tao, L. Zhang, *On the continuous periodic weak solution of Boussinesq equations*, arXiv: 1511.03448.

[39] T. Tao, L. Zhang, *Hölder continuous solution of Boussinesq equations with compact support*, arXiv:1512.06576.

School of Mathematics Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China
E-mail address: taotao@amss.ac.cn

Academy of mathematic and system science , Beijing , China
E-mail address: lqzhang@math.ac.cn